# Safety Assessment of Inorganic Hydroxides

# as Used in Cosmetics

Status: Release Date: Panel Meeting Date: Scientific Literature Review for Public Comment June 18, 2015 September 21-22, 2015

All interested persons are provided 60 days from the above release date to comment on this safety assessment and to identify additional published data that should be included or provide unpublished data which can be made public and included. Information may be submitted without identifying the source or the trade name of the cosmetic product containing the ingredient. All unpublished data submitted to CIR will be discussed in open meetings, will be available at the CIR office for review by any interested party and may be cited in a peer-reviewed scientific journal. Please submit data, comments, or requests to the CIR Director, Dr. Lillian Gill.

The 2015 Cosmetic Ingredient Review Expert Panel members are: Chairman, Wilma F. Bergfeld, M.D., F.A.C.P.; Donald V. Belsito, M.D.; Ronald A. Hill, Ph.D.; Curtis D. Klaassen, Ph.D.; Daniel C. Liebler, Ph.D.; James G. Marks, Jr., M.D.; Ronald C. Shank, Ph.D.; Thomas J. Slaga, Ph.D.; and Paul W. Snyder, D.V.M., Ph.D. The CIR Director is Lillian J. Gill, DPA. This safety assessment was prepared by Christina L. Burnett, Scientific Analyst/Writer and Bart Heldreth, Ph.D., Chemist CIR.

© Cosmetic Ingredient Review

1620 L St NW, Suite 1200 & Washington, DC 20036-4702 & ph 202.331.0651 & fax 202.331.0088 & cirinfo@cir-safety.org

# **INTRODUCTION**

This report addresses the safety of the inorganic hydroxides calcium hydroxide (also known as calcium hydrate or slaked lime), magnesium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide (potassium hydrate or potash), and sodium hydroxide (sodium hydrate, lye, or caustic soda). These ingredients are all alkaline salts and are reported to function as pH adjusters in cosmetics.<sup>1</sup>

The inorganic hydroxides in this report, with the exception of magnesium hydroxide, are well known caustic agents that can cause severe burns and corrosion with acute exposures. Sodium hydroxide is commonly used as a positive control in efficacy studies of skin protective creams and in other studies of irritant contact dermatitis.<sup>2</sup>

Some chemical and toxicological data on the inorganic hydroxides included in this safety assessment were obtained from robust summaries of data submitted to the European Chemical Agency (ECHA) by companies as part of the REACH chemical registration process. These data summaries are available on the ECHA website.<sup>3-6</sup>

# **CHEMISTRY**

## Definition

Inorganic hydroxides are alkaline salts formed by treating oxides with water or via decomposing salts by adding other soluble hydroxides to a solution thereof (e.g., adding sodium hydroxide to magnesium sulfate will produce magnesium hydroxide). The formation of an inorganic hydroxide, such as specifically lime or calcium hydroxide, by reaction of an oxide with water is a known as slaking.<sup>7</sup> The resulting highly water soluble ingredients only vary structurally by the metal cation. These variations result in different degrees of alkalinity across these four ingredients, ranging in  $pK_b$  values from 0.2 to 4.0. Used primarily as pH adjusters (to increase the pH of an otherwise acidic formulation), the caustic nature of these ingredients is unlikely to be observable in typical, final cosmetic formulations.



Figure 1. Inorganic Hydroxides (wherein "M" is group I or II metal)

The definitions, structures, and functions of the inorganic hydroxides included in this report are provided in Table 1.

## **Chemical and Physical Properties**

The inorganic hydroxides are all highly water soluble, white solids with specific gravities around 2. Physical and chemical properties of the inorganic hydroxides in this report are provided in Table 2.

## Method of Manufacturing

## Calcium Hydroxide

Calcium hydroxide may be formed by the hydration of lime or treating an aqueous solution of a calcium salt with alkali.<sup>8</sup>

# Magnesium Hydroxide

Magnesium hydroxide may be formed by reacting magnesium chloride or sulfate and sodium hydroxide.<sup>8</sup> Most commercial-grade magnesium hydroxide is obtained from seawater or brine using lime or dolomitic lime.<sup>7</sup>

# Potassium Hydroxide

Potassium hydroxide may be produced by treating oxides with water, known as brine electrolysis.<sup>7,8</sup>

## Sodium Hydroxide

Sodium hydroxide is formed by brine electrolysis.<sup>7</sup>

2 NaCl + 2 H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  2 NaOH + Cl<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub> Formula 1. Brine Electrolysis

Sodium hydroxide may also be formed by reacting lime with soda ash.<sup>7</sup>

 $Ca(OH)_2 + Na_2CO_3 \rightarrow CaCO_3 + 2 NaOH$ Formula 2. Slacking

## <u>USE</u> Cosmetic

The safety of the cosmetic ingredients included in this safety assessment is evaluated on the basis of the expected use in cosmetics. The Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR) Expert Panel (Panel) utilizes data received from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the cosmetics industry in determining the expected cosmetic use. The data received from the FDA are those it collects from manufacturers on the use of individual ingredients in cosmetics by cosmetic product category in its Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program (VCRP), and those from the cosmetic industry are submitted in response to a survey of the maximum reported use concentrations by category conducted by the Personal Care Products Council (Council).

According to the 2015 VCRP survey data, sodium hydroxide has the most reported uses of the ingredients listed in this safety assessment in cosmetic products, with a total of 5147; about half of the uses are in leave-on skin care products (Table 3).<sup>9</sup> Potassium hydroxide has the second greatest number of overall uses reported, with a total of 1074; the majority of the uses also are in leave-on skin care products. The results of the concentration of use survey conducted in 2014 by the Council indicate calcium hydroxide has the highest reported maximum concentration of use; it is used at up to 13.2% in rinse-off shaving preparations.<sup>10</sup> However, it is only used up to 0.5% in leave-on products (deodorants). Sodium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide are used at up to 10% in leave-on skin preparations.

Some of these ingredients may be used in products that can be incidentally ingested or come into contact with mucous membranes. For example, sodium hydroxide is used in lipstick (at up to 0.26%) and in bath soaps and detergents (at up to 12.9%). Additionally, some of these ingredients were reported to be used in hair sprays and body and hand sprays and could possibly be inhaled. For example, potassium hydroxide was reported to be used in hair sprays at a maximum concentration of 0.69%. In practice, 95% to 99% of the droplets/particles released from cosmetic sprays have aerodynamic equivalent diameters >10  $\mu$ m, with propellant sprays yielding a greater fraction of droplets/particles below 10  $\mu$ m compared with pump sprays.<sup>11-14</sup> Therefore, most droplets/particles incidentally inhaled from cosmetic sprays would be deposited in the nasopharyngeal and bronchial regions and would not be respirable (i.e., they would not enter the lungs) to any appreciable amount.<sup>12,13</sup>

The European Commission has added sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, and calcium hydroxide to Annex III List of Substances Which Cosmetic Products Must Not Contain Except Subject to the Restrictions Laid Down.<sup>15</sup> The uses of sodium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide may not exceed 5% in nail cuticle solvents; 2% for general use and 4.5% in professional use of hair; must have a pH below 12.7 when used as a pH adjuster in depilatories; and must have pH below 11 in other uses. The use of calcium hydroxide may not exceed 7% in hair straighteners containing calcium hydroxide and a guanidine salt, must have a pH below 12.7 when used as a pH adjuster in depilatories, and must have a pH below 11 in all other uses.

Magnesium hydroxide is not restricted from use in any way under the rules governing cosmetic products in the European Union.<sup>15</sup>

## Non-Cosmetic

The inorganic hydroxides in this report are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) as direct food substances based upon following current good manufacturing practice conditions of use (21CFR§184). Additionally, they are GRAS as feed additives for animals (21CFR§582). The FDA has also reviewed calcium hydroxide and magnesium hydroxide for use as an active ingredient in over-the-counter (OTC) drugs. Based on evidence currently available, there are inadequate data to establish general recognition of the safety and effectiveness of this ingredient in certain drug products (21CFR §310).

Calcium hydroxide is used in mortar, plaster, cement and other building and paving materials.<sup>8</sup> It is also used in lubricants, drilling fluids, pesticides, fireproofing coatings, water paints, as egg preservative, in the manufacture of paper pulp, in rubber vulcanization in water treatment, as an absorbent for carbon dioxide, and in dehairing hides. Therapeutically, it is used as an astringent.

Magnesium hydroxide may be used therapeutically as an antacid, cathartic, or laxative.<sup>8</sup> It is an approved OTC active ingredient (21 CFR§ 331.11).

Non-cosmetic uses of potassium hydroxide include mordant for wood, mercerizing cotton, absorbing carbon dioxide, removing paint and varnish, electroplating, photoengraving and lithography, printing inks, debudding calves' horns and dissolving scales and hair in skin scrapings.<sup>8</sup>

Sodium hydroxide is a well-known strong base and is extremely corrosive. Sodium hydroxide solutions are used to neutralize acids and make sodium salts (for example, in petroleum refining to remove sulfuric and organic acids); to treat cellulose during viscose rayon and cellophane production; to reclaim rubber; in plastics manufacturing; and in dehorning calves.<sup>7,8</sup>

## **TOXICOKINETICS**

No relevant published toxicokinetics studies on inorganic hydroxides were identified in a literature search for these ingredients and no unpublished data were submitted.

# TOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES

# Acute Toxicity

Animal acute dose toxicity studies are presented in Table 4.<sup>3-6,16-19</sup> In oral toxicity studies, calcium hydroxide had an  $LD_{50} > 7300 \text{ mg/kg}$  bodyweight in rats and mice and magnesium hydroxide had an  $LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg}$  bodyweight in rats. An  $LD_{50}$  of 1230 mg/kg bodyweight was observed in rats that received potassium hydroxide at doses that increased in log fashion by factor of 2 starting at 0.1 mg/ml solution. Other oral studies of potassium hydroxide in rats have  $LD_{50}$  results of 333 to 388 mg/kg bodyweight. Oral studies of sodium hydroxide led to extensive gastric damages in the animal tested. In dermal toxicity studies, calcium hydroxide had an  $LD_{50} > 2.5 \text{ g/kg}$  bodyweight in rabbits, and mice treated with 50% sodium hydroxide had better survival rates with the test compound was washed off within an hour of application. In inhalation studies in rats, the  $LC_{50}$ s for magnesium hydroxide and sodium hydroxide were > 2.1 mg/l and > 0.75 mg/l, respectively.

# **Repeated Dose Toxicity**

No relevant published repeated dose toxicity studies on inorganic hydroxides were identified in a literature search for these ingredients and no unpublished data were submitted.

# **REPRODUCTIVE AND DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY**

#### Magnesium Hydroxide

The reproductive effects of magnesium hydroxide (pH = 10) were studied in rats that received the test material via gavage.<sup>5</sup> Groups of 10 male and 10 female Wistar rats received 0, 110, 330, or 1000 mg/kg bw/day magnesium hydroxide in water daily. Males were exposed for 29 days (i.e. 2 weeks prior to mating, during mating, and up until treatment end) and females were exposed for 41-45 days (i.e. 2 weeks prior to mating, during mating, during post-coitum, and during at least 4 days of lactation). No treatment-related effects were observed on clinical signs, body weight or weight gain, feed consumption, or hematology. In parental males, lower total protein levels (330 and 1000 mg/kg dose groups), lower albumin levels (1000 mg/kg dose group), and lower calcium levels (330 and 1000 mg/kg dose groups) in the blood and lower sodium and potassium excretion (1000 mg/kg dose group) and higher calcium concentration in urine (1000 mg/kg dose group) were observed; however, these changes only just exceeded or remained within normal ranges and there were no corresponding histopathological changes. No toxicologically relevant changes from the test material were observed in parental organ weights or in gross pathology. There were no treatment related effects on reproduction development. The no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) for parental systemic effects, parental reproductive effects, and offspring effects in this one generation rat study of magnesium hydroxide is 1000 mg/kg bw/day.

# **GENOTOXICITY**

Genotoxicity studies are presented in Table  $5^{3-6,20}$  Calcium hydroxide, magnesium hydroxide, and sodium hydroxide were not genotoxic in several different in vitro assays. Potassium hydroxide was not genotoxic in one Ames test, but results were ambiguous in another Ames test and a chromosome aberration test. Sodium hydroxide was not genotoxic in in vivo mouse studies at up to 0.015M.

# **IRRITATION AND SENSITIZATION**

# **Dermal Irritation**

Sodium hydroxide is a corrosive material that can produce immediate coagulative necrosis resulting in considerable tissue damage with ulceration and sloughing.<sup>21</sup> High concentrations can cause deep burns and readily denatures keratin. Following exposure, the chemical must be removed quickly and completely in order to avoid further damage to the skin or possible systemic injury.

Dermal irritation studies are presented in Table 6.<sup>2-6,22-31</sup> Magnesium hydroxide was not irritating or corrosive in in vitro tests; however, potassium hydroxide and sodium hydroxide were corrosive at concentrations as low as 1%. Calcium hydroxide was generally irritating but not corrosive in dermal rabbit studies. Potassium hydroxide was irritating and/or corrosive in rabbit (at 2% or greater) and guinea pig (at 10%) studies. Sodium hydroxide was irritating/corrosive in a concentration dependent manner in rat, rabbit, and pig studies. In humans, sodium hydroxide was irritating at concentrations as low as 0.5%. Because of the large number of studies the include sodium hydroxide as a positive control, only a sampling has been presented in this safety assessment.

## **Ocular Irritation**

Caustic chemicals like sodium hydroxide can rapidly penetrate ocular tissues.<sup>21</sup> Toxicity is a function of pH, with greater toxicity associated with increasing pH values. The concentration of the solution and duration of contact with the eye are important determinants of the eventual clinical outcome. Ocular irritation studies are presented in Table 7.<sup>3-6,31-35</sup> Calcium hydroxide was predicted to be irritating in hen's

Ocular irritation studies are presented in Table 7.<sup>3-6,31-35</sup> Calcium hydroxide was predicted to be irritating in hen's egg test-chorioallantoic membrane (HET-CAM) in vitro tests while magnesium hydroxide was predicted not to be irritating in a bovine corneal opacity and permeability (BCOP) in vitro test. In rabbit studies, calcium hydroxide was severely

irritating at a concentration as low as 10% and pH of 9. Potassium hydroxide and sodium hydroxide were severely irritating and/or corrosive in a concentration-dependent manner. Magnesium hydroxide was not irritating in a rabbit study.

## **Dermal Sensitization**

Dermal sensitization studies are summarized in Table 8.<sup>4-6</sup> Potassium hydroxide (0.1%) was not sensitizing in a guinea pig study while magnesium hydroxide in propylene glycol was sensitizing in a local lymph node assay (LLNA) when tested at up to 50%. In a human repeat insult patch test (HRIPT), sodium hydroxide was not sensitizing when induced at up to 1.0% and challenged at 0.125%, but irritation was observed.

# CASE REPORT

No relevant case reports were discovered in the published literature regarding exposure to inorganic hydroxides in cosmetic products; however numerous cases of accidental occupational or industrial exposures were reported. <sup>3,4,6,36</sup>

## **SUMMARY**

The inorganic hydroxides, calcium hydroxide, magnesium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, and sodium hydroxide, are all alkaline salts and function most commonly as pH adjusters in cosmetics. Inorganic hydroxides, with the exception of magnesium hydroxide, are well known caustic agents that can cause severe burns and corrosion in acute exposures. Sodium hydroxide is commonly used as a positive control in efficacy studies of skin protective creams and in other studies of irritant contact dermatitis.

According to the 2015 VCRP survey data, sodium hydroxide has the most reported uses of the ingredients listed in this safety assessment in cosmetic products, with a total of 5147; about half of the uses are in leave-on skin care products. Potassium hydroxide has the second greatest number of overall uses reported, with a total of 1074; the majority of the uses also are in leave-on skin care products. The results of the concentration of use survey conducted in 2014 by the Council indicate calcium hydroxide has the highest reported maximum concentration of use; it is used at up to 13.2% in rinse-off shaving preparations. However, it is only used up to 0.5% in leave-on products (deodorants). Sodium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide are used at concentrations up to 10% in leave-on skin preparations.

The inorganic hydroxides in this report are GRAS as direct food substances and as feed additives for animals. The FDA has also reviewed calcium hydroxide and magnesium hydroxide for use as an active ingredient in over-the-counter drugs. Inorganic hydroxides have numerous non-cosmetic uses.

In oral toxicity studies, calcium hydroxide had an  $LD_{50} > 7300 \text{ mg/kg}$  bodyweight in rats and mice and magnesium hydroxide had an  $LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg}$  bodyweight in rats. An  $LD_{50}$  of 1230 mg/kg bodyweight was observed in rats that received potassium hydroxide at doses that increased in log fashion by a factor of 2 starting at 0.1 mg/ml solution. Other oral studies of potassium hydroxide in rats have  $LD_{50}$  results of 333 to 388 mg/kg bodyweight. Oral studies of sodium hydroxide led to extensive gastric damages in the animal tested. In dermal toxicity studies, calcium hydroxide had an  $LD_{50} > 2.5 \text{ g/kg}$  bodyweight in rabbits, and mice treated with 50% sodium hydroxide had better survival rates when the test compound was washed off within an hour of application. In inhalation studies in rats, the  $LC_{50}$ s for magnesium hydroxide and sodium hydroxide were > 2.1 mg/l and > 750 µg/l, respectively.

The NOAEL for parental and offspring effects following oral exposure to magnesium hydroxide (pH = 10) was 1000 mg/kg bw/day. No treatment-related effects were observed on clinical signs, body weight or weight gain, feed consumption, or hematology. No toxicologically relevant changes from the test material were observed in parental organ weights or in gross pathology. There were no treatment related effects on reproduction development.

Calcium hydroxide, magnesium hydroxide, and sodium hydroxide were not genotoxic in several different in vitro assays. Potassium hydroxide was not genotoxic in one Ames test, but results were ambiguous in another Ames test and a chromosome aberration test. Sodium hydroxide was not genotoxic in in vivo mice studies at up to 0.015M.

Magnesium hydroxide was not irritating or corrosive in in vitro tests; however, potassium hydroxide and sodium hydroxide were corrosive at concentrations as low as 5%. Calcium hydroxide was irritating but not corrosive in dermal rabbit studies. Potassium hydroxide was irritating and/or corrosive in rabbit and guinea pig studies at concentrations of 2% or greater. Sodium hydroxide was irritating and/or corrosive in a concentration dependent manner in rat, rabbit, and pig studies. In humans, sodium hydroxide was irritating at concentrations as low as 0.5%. Because of the large number of studies that include sodium hydroxide as a positive control, only a sampling has been presented in this safety assessment.

Calcium hydroxide was irritating in HET-CAM in vitro tests while magnesium hydroxide was not irritating in a bovine corneal opacity and permeability BCOP in vitro test. In rabbit studies, calcium hydroxide was severely irritating at a concentration of 10% and pH of 9. Potassium hydroxide and sodium hydroxide were severely irritating and/or corrosive in a concentration-dependent manner. Magnesium hydroxide was not irritating in a rabbit study.

Potassium hydroxide (0.1%) was not sensitizing in a guinea pig study while magnesium hydroxide in propylene glycol was sensitizing in an LLNA when tested at up to 50%. In an HRIPT, sodium hydroxide was not sensitizing when induced at up to 1.0% and challenged at 0.125%, but irritation was observed.

A case report detailed the presentation of a plumber with chronic eczema 2 years after accidental submersion of his hands in sodium hydroxide solution.

No relevant published toxicokinetics or repeated dose toxicity studies on inorganic hydroxides were identified in a literature search for these ingredients and no unpublished data were submitted.

# DATA NEEDS

CIR is seeking types and concentrations of impurities and/or general composition of cosmetic-grade inorganic hydroxides. Additional toxicological data, especially from dermal or ocular exposure, for ingredients at use concentration would help the Panel assess the safe use of these ingredients in cosmetics.

Ingredient/CAS No.	Definition & Structure	Function
Calcium Hydroxide	Calcium Hydroxide is the inorganic base that conforms to the formula	pH adjuster
1305-62-0	2⊕ ⊖ Ca OH	
Magnesium Hydroxide 1309-42-8	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Magnesium Hydroxide is an inorganic base that conforms to the formula} \\ 2 & \bigoplus_{\substack{ O \\ Mg \\ OH }} \\ \end{array}$	absorbent; pH adjuster
Potassium Hydroxide 1310-58-3	Potassium Hydroxide is the inorganic base that conforms to the formula $\stackrel{\bigoplus}{K} \stackrel{\Theta}{OH}$	pH adjuster
Sodium Hydroxide 1310-73-2	Sodium Hydroxide is the inorganic base that conforms to the formula ⊕ ⊖ Na OH	denaturant; pH adjuster

**TABLES** 

Table 2. Physical and chemical properties of inorganic hydroxides

Table 2. Physical and chemical properties of           Property	Value	Reference
	Calcium Hydroxide	
Physical form	crystals or soft, odorless granules or powder with a slight bitter or alkaline taste	8
Molecular weight (g/mol)	74.09	8
pK <sub>b</sub>	2.4	8
Specific gravity	2.08-2.34	8
	Magnesium Hydroxide	
Physical form	bulky white, amorphous powder	8
Molecular weight (g/mol)	58.32	8
Melting point (°C)	350 (decomposes)	37
pK <sub>b</sub>	4.0	8
Specific gravity	2.36	37
Solubility at 25 °C, mg/l	11.7	7
	Potassium Hydroxide	
Physical form	White or slightly yellow lumps, rods, pellets	8
Molecular weight (g/mol)	56.11	8
Melting point (°C)	360	8
pK <sub>b</sub>	0.5	8
Specific gravity	2.044	37
	Sodium Hydroxide	
Physical Form	Brittle, white, translucent crystalline solid	7
Molecular Weight (g/mol)	39.998	7
Melting point (°C)	318	7
Boiling point °C at 760 mm Hg	1388	7
pK <sub>b</sub>	0.2	8
Specific gravity at 20 °C	2.13	7

Table 3.	Frequenc	v and concentration	on of use according	ng to duration an	d type of exposu	e for inorganic hydroxide. <sup>9,10</sup>
	1 requerie	, and concentration	on or abe according	ng to daration an	a type of emposed	e for morganie nyaromae.

Table 3. Frequency and concentra	# of Uses	Max Conc of Use (%)	# of Uses	Max Conc of Use
	0		0	(%)
-		Hydroxide	ě	n Hydroxide
Totals <sup>†</sup>	99	0.1-13.2	14	1.1-1.6
Duration of Use				
Leave-On	18	0.11-0.5	4	NR
Rinse Off	81	0.1-13.2	8	1.1-1.6
Diluted for (Bath) Use	NR	NR	2	NR
Exposure Type				
Eye Area	NR	NR	NR	NR
Incidental Ingestion	NR	NR	NR	NR
Incidental Inhalation -Sprays	spray: NR possible: 2 <sup>a</sup> ; 1 <sup>b</sup>	spray: NR possible: 0.18 <sup>a</sup>	NR	NR
Incidental Inhalation - Powders	powder: NR possible: 1 <sup>b</sup>	NR	powder: 1	NR
Dermal Contact	71	0.1-13.2	7	1.1
Deodorant (underarm)	NR	spray: NR possible: NR not spray: 0.5	NR	NR
Hair - Non-Coloring	28	0.18-6	NR	NR
Hair-Coloring	NR	NR	7	1.6
Nail	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mucous Membrane	NR	NR	2	1.1
Baby Products	NR	NR	NR	NR
	Determine	<b>TT</b> 1 11.	C	T
<b>m</b>		Hydroxide		Iydroxide
Totals <sup>†</sup>	1074	0.0000049-10	5147	0.0000083-12.9
Duration of Use				
Leave-On	681	0.0000049-10	2802	0.0000083-10
Rinse Off	387	0.00048-7.2	2267	0.00002-12.9
Diluted for (Bath) Use	6	0.3-6.4	78	0.00002-0.28
Exposure Type				
Eye Area	61	0.000049-0.5	191	0.0000083-0.86
Incidental Ingestion	4	0.00049-0.005	36	0.00083-0.26
Incidental Inhalation -Sprays	spray: 9 possible: 252 <sup>a</sup> ; 240 <sup>b</sup>	spray: 0.00049-0.69 possible: 0.0045- 0.77 <sup>a</sup> ; 0.3-10 <sup>b</sup>	spray: 13 possible: 1284 <sup>a</sup> ; 745 <sup>b</sup>	spray: 0.000025-0.35 possible: 0.0025- 0.93 <sup>a</sup> ; 0.09-2 <sup>b</sup>
Incidental Inhalation - Powders	powder: NR possible: 240 <sup>b</sup> ; 3 <sup>c</sup>	powder: 0.0000049 possible: 0.3-10 <sup>b</sup>	powder: 2 possible: 745 <sup>b</sup> ; 16 <sup>c</sup>	powder: 0.0000083-0.25 possible: 0.09-2 <sup>b</sup>
Dermal Contact	995	0.0000049-10	4310	0.0000083-12.9
Deodorant (underarm)	spray: NR possible: 3 <sup>a</sup>	NR	spray: NR: possible: 129 <sup>a</sup>	spray: 0.4 possible: NR not spray: 0.01-1.1
Hair Non Coloring	60	0.005-0.77	444	0.00002-3

Hair - Non-Coloring 60 0.005-0.77 444 Hair-Coloring 0.31 329 1 Nail 10 0.02-1.7 8 Mucous Membrane 102 0.00049-6.4 1253 Baby Products 3 0.19-0.21 47

NR = Not reported.

<sup>†</sup> Because each ingredient may be used in cosmetics with multiple exposure types, the sum of all exposure types may not equal the sum of total uses.

0.00002-3

0.001-1.7

0.13-1

0.00002-12.9

0.13-0.16

<sup>a.</sup> It is possible these products may be sprays, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are sprays.

<sup>b.</sup> Not specified whether a powder or a spray, so this information is captured for both categories of incidental inhalation.

<sup>c</sup> It is possible these products may be powders, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are powders.

Ingredient	Concentration/Dose	Study Protocol Oral	Results	Reference
calcium hydroxide	details not provided	oral study in mice and rats (no further details provided)	$LD_{50} \geq 7300 \text{ mg/kg} \\ bodyweight}$	3
magnesium hydroxide in water	2000 mg/kg	oral gavage (10 ml/kg dose volume) in 3 female Wistar rats	LD <sub>50</sub> > 2000 mg/kg bodyweight	5
potassium hydroxide	details not provided	oral gavage in male Sprague Dawley rats 14 day conventional test (10 animals/dose) or 1 week up-and- down test (1 animal/dose)	$LD_{50} = 333 \text{ mg/kg}$ bodyweight in conventional method, $LD_{50} = 388 \text{ mg/kg}$ bodyweight in up-and- down test	16
potassium hydroxide in water	0.1 mg/ml solution with doses increased in log fashion by factor of 2	oral gavage in 5 male/dose Carwoth- Wistar rats	$LD_{50} = 1230 \text{ mg/kg}$ bodyweight	38
potassium hydroxide	details not provided	oral gavage in 9 male/dose Charles River albino rats	$LD_{50} = 365 \text{ mg/kg}$ bodyweight	39
sodium hydroxide	0.2 N	oral study in rats (no further details provided)	Extensive damage to gastric mucosa observed	40
sodium hydroxide	8.3%	oral study in cats (no further details provided)	Superficial layer of squamous mucosa was destroyed; submucosal and transmural thrombosis observed in the blood vessels	41
sodium hydroxide	7 ml of 0.5 N	oral gavage in 26 Wistar rats (no further details provided)	Entire gastric mucosa fell off; intestinal metaplasia in 18/26 rats	42
sodium hydroxide in water	0.4%, 0.5%, or 0.62% corresponding to 20, 25, or 31 mg/kg bodyweight	oral study in male rats ( no further details provided)	Increasing concentrations resulted in increasing gastric injury; erosion scores were 10%, 65%, and 70% for 0.4%, 0.5%, and 0.62% NaOH, respectively	17
		Dermal		
calcium hydroxide	2.5 g/kg bodyweight	dermal exposure to 5 male and 5 female New Zealand White rabbits; patches semi-occluded; test area 100 cm <sup>2</sup> ; test site rinsed with water after 24 h	LD <sub>50</sub> > 2.5 g/kg bodyweight	3
sodium hydroxide in water	50%	Dermal exposure in groups of 27 54A/He and C57 black mice, test sites were irrigated immediately, or after 30 min, 1 h, 2 h, or not at all (no further details provided)	Mortality rate of the mice was 0%, 20%, 40%, 80%, and 71% when application sites were irrigated immediately, after 30 min, after 1 h, after 2 h, or not at all	18
magnesium hydroxide	2.1 mg/l	Inhalation 4-h whole-body inhalation of aerosol in groups of 5 male and female Wistar rats	$LC_{50} > 2.1 mg/l$	5
sodium hydroxide	0.75 mg/l	whole body exposure of aerosol for 2 h in 24 male Wistar rats, microscopic examinations performed on cross sections of nose, larynx, trachea with esophagus, and lungs at 1 h and 24 h post-exposure	No mortalities during test; acute laryngitis observed in 11 animals after 1 h and after 24 h; average severity of lesions was 1.58 (very slight) at 1 h and 1.25 (very slight) at 24 h	19

Ingredient	Concentration/Dose	Study Protocol	Results	Reference
calcium hydroxide	0.3 to 3750 μg/plate	In Vitro Ames test in Salmonella typhimurium strains TA 98, TA100, TA 1535, and TA 1537 and Escherichia coli strain WP2 uvr A, with and without metabolic activation	-not mutagenic	3
calcium hydroxide in glycerol	30, 100, or 300 mM	<ul> <li>-chromosome aberration study, with and without metabolic activation, in human dental pulp cells</li> <li>-test material was incubated with cells in one of 3 scenarios: 30 h continuous treatment with colcemid added 3 h before harvest; 3 h treatment with 27 h recovery and colcemid added 3 h before harvest; or 2 h treatment with a 28 h recovery and colcemid added 3 h before harvest (metabolic activation scenario)</li> </ul>	-not genotoxic	20
magnesium hydroxide in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)	100 to 5000 µg/plate	Ames test in <i>S. typhimurium</i> strains TA 98, TA 100, TA 1535, and TA 1537 and <i>E. coli</i> strain WP2 uvr A, with and without metabolic activation	-not mutagenic	5
magnesium hydroxide in DMSO	1, 3, 10, or 33 μg/ml	mouse lymphoma L5178Y/TK mutation test, with and without metabolic activation	-not mutagenic -test material precipitated at concentrations greater than 33 μg/ml	5
magnesium hydroxide in DMSO	3, 10, or 33 µg/ml	chromosome aberration test in human lymphocytes, with and without metabolic activation	-not clastogenic -test material precipitated at concentrations greater than 33 μg/ml	
potassium hydroxide in distilled water	0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, or 1 mg/plate	Ames test in <i>S. typhimurium</i> strains TA 97 and TA 102, with and without metabolic activation	not genotoxic	6
potassium hydroxide in distilled water	0.00945% to 0.019%	Ames test in <i>E. coli</i> strains B/Sd-4/3,4 and B/Sd-4/1,3,4,5 without metabolic activation	-ambiguous results (no further details)	43
potassium hydroxide	0, 4, 8, 12, 16, or 20 mM	Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) chromosome aberration test, with and without metabolic activation	-ambiguous results: positive with metabolic activation at 12 mM and pH 10.4 but negative without metabolic activation -genotoxic effects due to high non-physiolocial pH that may yield false- positive results	44
sodium hydroxide	details not provided	Ames test in <i>S. typhimurium</i> strains TA 98, TA 100, TA 1535, TA 1537, TA 1538 ( no further details provided)	not genotoxic	45
sodium hydroxide	0, 4, 8, or 16 mM with corresponding pH values of 7.4, 9.1, 9.7, or 10.6, respectively	CHO-K1 cell chromosomal aberration test, with and without metabolic activation	not clastogenic	44
sodium hydroxide	details not provided	unscheduled DNA synthesis assay in E. coli strains WP2, WP67, CM871 (no further details provided)	not genotoxic	45
sodium hydroxide	details not provided	unscheduled DNA synthesis assay in E. coli strains WP2, WP2uvrA,WP67, CM611, WP100, W3110polA+, p3478pola-, with and without metabolic activation (no further details provided) In Vivo	not genotoxic	46
sodium hydroxide (as a control substance)	10 mg/kg of 15 mM	Chromosome aberration micronucleus assay in 5 male and 5 female CD- mice via a single intraperitoneal dose	-no significant increase of nuclei was observed	47
sodium hydroxide (as a control substance)	0.3-0.4 ml of 0.01 M	Aneuploidy induction study in female Swiss mice; mice injected intraperitoneally and chromosome spreads were made 12 h after injection (no further details provided)	-no non-disjunction observed	48

Ingredient	Concentration/	Study Protocol	Results	Reference
		Non-Human In Vitro		
magnesium hydroxide	details not provided	Human three dimensional epidermal model using 10 mg test material moistened with 25 µl purified water	Not irritating	5
magnesium hydroxide	details not provided	Human three dimensional epidermal model using 25 mg test material moistened with 25 µl purified water	Not corrosive	5
potassium hydroxide	10%	Epiderm and Skin <sup>2</sup> ZS1301 in vitro models (validation study)	Corrosive	<sup>6</sup> (Perkins 1996)
potassium hydroxide	5% and 10%	In vitro skin corrosion – transcutaneous electrical resistance test (TER) (validation study)	Corrosive at both concentrations tested	<sup>6</sup> (Fentem 1998)
potassium hydroxide	5% and 10%	Skin <sup>2</sup> ZK1350 in vitro model (validation study)	Corrosive at 10%, non- corrosive at 5%	<sup>6</sup> (Fentem 1998)
potassium hydroxide	5%	Leiden human reconstructed epidermal in vitro model (validation study)	Corrosive and irritant	<sup>6</sup> (El Ghalbzouri 2008)
potassium hydroxide	5% and 10%	In vitro membrane barrier test method (validation study)	Corrosive at both concentrations tested	<sup>6</sup> (Ferntem 1998)
potassium hydroxide	5%	SkinEthic in vitro model	Irritant	<sup>6</sup> (Tornier C 2006)
potassium hydroxide	5% and 10%	Episkin model (validation study)	Corrosive at both concentrations tested	<sup>6</sup> (Fentem 1998)
potassium hydroxide	10%	SkinEthic reconstituted human epidermal model (validation study)	Corrosive	<sup>6</sup> (Kandarova 2006)
sodium hydroxide in water	4.9%	Skin <sup>2</sup> ZK1350 in vitro model	Corrosive	<sup>4</sup> (Liebsch 1995)
sodium hydroxide in water	16% and 24%	-irritation study in Yorkshire weanling pigs skin flaps -test area was 5 cm <sup>2</sup> area on the lower abdominal skin -dose volume = 200 μl	Severe necrosis of all epidermal cell layers and dermis, with some lesions extending into the subcutaneous layers. A decrease in glucose utilization and changes in vascular resistance were observed	<sup>4</sup> (Srikrishna 1991)
sodium hydroxide	1%	-in vitro study using human breast or abdominal tissues -test material (150 μl) applied to the epidermis of at least 6 skin discs for 24 h before rinsing with water -transcutaneous electrical resistance (TER) was measured	Corrosive effects observed (TER was below 11.0 kohms/disc at 7.7)	23
calcium hydroxide	details not provided	Non-Human In Vivo	Imitating but not	3
	·	<ul> <li>-irritation study in 3 Himalayan rabbits</li> <li>-treated skin was cleaned with soap and water immediately after exposure</li> <li>-0.5 g test material applied to shaved skin for 4 h</li> <li>-sites graded immediately and at 1, 24, 48, and 72 h and on days 7 and 4 post-exposure</li> </ul>	Irritating but not corrosive	
calcium hydroxide	details not provided	-Draize irritation study in 3 New Zealand White rabbits -0.5 g test material applied to shaved skin and semi-occluded for 4 h -sites graded at 1, 24, 48, and 72 h post-patch removal	Not irritating	3
calcium hydroxide	details not provided	-5 male and 5 female New Zealand White rabbits -2500 mg/kg applied via semi- occluded patches on shaved skin for 24 h -treated skin was rinsed with water 24 h after application	Irritating; redness followed by scabbing, was observed at the test site following rinsing	3
potassium hydroxide	1% and 2%	-Draize irritation study in 6 rabbits -occlusive 1 in <sup>2</sup> patches on clipped skin -0.5 ml applied for 4 h	Not corrosive at 1%, corrosive at 2%	30

Ingredient	Concentration/	Study Protocol	Results	Reference
		Non-Human In Vivo (Continued)	~ .	6.2.2
potassium hydroxide	10%	-irritation study in 6 Hartley guinea pigs	Corrosive	<sup>6</sup> (Nixon 1975)
		-0.5 ml test material on intact and		
		abraded skin for 4 h, patches		
		occluded		
potassium hydroxide	5% and 10%	-sites graded after 4, 24, and 48 h -6 rabbits exposed to 0.2 ml test	Severe irritation at both	<sup>6</sup> (Nixon 1990)
		material in 19 mm diameter Hill Top chamber for 1 or 4 h or 0.5 ml on Webril gauze patches for 4 h -patches occluded	concentrations tested	
		-sites graded 30 min, 24, 48, and 72		
	100/	h after patch removal		601: 1075)
potassium hydroxide	10%	-irritation study in 6 rabbits -0.5 ml test material applied under occlusive patches on abraded and intact skin for 4 h -sites observed after 4, 24, and 48 h	Corrosive	<sup>6</sup> (Nixon 1975)
potassium hydroxide	5%	-modified Draize study in 6 albino	Mild irritant on intact	39
F		rabbits -0.1 ml test material applied to area of 20 mm <sup>2</sup> for 24 h under occlusive patches on abraded and intact skin	skin, extreme irritant on abraded skin	
sodium hydroxide	details not provided	-stepwise screening test for skin	-minimum concentration	31
		irritation in mice (no further details provided)	for skin irritation was 5% (50 mg/kg) -minimum intradermal test response was 0.25%	
sodium hydroxide	8%	-test material was applied for 1 min	to 0.3% (1.25-1.5 mg/kg) -subcutaneous tissue pH	<sup>4</sup> (Yano 1993)
		with 2 cm diameter filter paper to the abdomens of 20 SD rats -test area was washed with 500 ml distilled water at 1, 10, or 30 min post-exposure -test sites examined at 1-min intervals for up to 90 min	did not recover to pre- experiment values by the 90 <sup>th</sup> min -tissue pH value did not exceed 8.0 (at 1 min) -no difference in effects were observed when washing was at 10 or 30 min	
sodium hydroxide	0.36% and 5%	-test material (0.5 ml) was applied for 4 h to 4 New Zealand White rabbits -semi-occluded patches on clipped dorso-lumbar skin -test sites washed after patch removal -test sites examined 1, 24, 48, 72, and 144 h after patches were removed	-test material was corrosive at 5% when tested in 1 rabbit, scores of 4 for erythema were recorded up to 168 h post-patch removal, edema scores of 1 were recorded at 24 and 48 h -no irritation was observed in 3 rabbits at 0.36%	4
sodium hydroxide	4.9% by weight	Irritation study in 3 Vienna White rabbits (1 male, 2 females); patches were occlusive and applied to shaved skin (one intact and one abraded site) for 24 h; sites observed for reactions at 24 and 72 h post-application with last check after 8 days	Moderately irritating with a primary irritation index (PII) score of 5.6; mild necrosis was observed after 24 h and parchment- like/leather-like necrosis was observed after 72 h that was observed after 8 days	4
sodium hydroxide	1% w/v aq. solution	Irritation study in 6 New Zealand	Slight skin irritant; very	4
	- /o ii, i aq. solution	White rabbits; patches were 2.5 cm <sup>2</sup> and the shaved sites were occluded for 2 h; sites observed for reactions at 1, 24, 48, 72 h and 7 days	slight erythema in 2 animals at 1 h, well- defined reaction observed in 1 animal and same very slight irritation in 2 other animals at 24 h; very slight irritation observed in 3 animals at 48 and 72 h that persisted	

Ingredient	Concentration/	Study Protocol	Results	Reference
		Non-Human In Vivo (Continued)		
sodium hydroxide	0.95% by weight	Irritation study in 3 female Vienna White rabbits; patches were occlusive and applied to shaved skin (one intact and one abraded site); sites observed for reactions at 24 and 72 h post-application with last check after 8 days	Mildly irritating with a PII score of 2.7; fully reversible erythema in 2 rabbits with spot-like necrosis observed at 72 h for 2 animals	4
sodium hydroxide	5% aqueous	Irritation study in 6 New Zealand White rabbits exposed for 2 h to 0.5 ml test material; test site was 2.5 cm <sup>2</sup> , shaved and occluded; sites were scored at 24, 48, and 72 h and on day 7	Skin irritant; Slight dermal irritation observed in 3 animals 1 h post- patch removal; 1 rabbit had caustic burn with "in depth" skin damage and small dermal hemorrhages; 2 rabbits had small dermal hemorrhages with some slight tissue necrosis; similar reaction observed at 24, 48, and 72 h and on day 7; one patch had poor skin contact during the 2 h patching	4
sodium hydroxide in water	8%, 16% or 24%	-irritation study in 4 Yorkshire weanling pigs -200 μl on a 5 cm <sup>2</sup> area on the lower abdominal skin for 30 min	<ul> <li>-highly irritating at 8% and 16%, corrosive at 24%</li> <li>-gross blisters developed within 15 min of application</li> <li>-8% and 16% produced severe necrosis in all epidermal layers</li> <li>-24% produced numerous and severe blisters with necrosis extending into the subcutaneous tissue</li> </ul>	<sup>4</sup> (srikrishna 1991)
		Human		
sodium hydroxide	0.5% in aq. solution	<ul> <li>-test material (50 μl) used as a positive control and irritation inducer in an efficacy study of skin protective creams in 20 human subjects</li> <li>-test material applied on 18 mm diameter area on 5/13 test sites</li> </ul>	-yielded expected irritation as a positive control	2
sodium hydroxide	0.5%	Patch test in 30 subjects with 0.2 ml of the test substance on a 25 mm Plain Hill Top Chamber containing a Webril pad for 15 and 30 min, 1, 2, 3, and 4 h.	Irritating to the skin, maximum exposure time was limited to 1 h due to strong level of response	
sodium hydroxide	2% in distilled water	Closed patch test in 12 mm diameter Finn chambers of experimental irritants in 16 subjects; patch was removed after 1h	Visual median score after 24 h and 96 h was 1 out 3 (weak positive reaction), respectively	24

 Table 6. Dermal irritation studies

Ingredient	Concentration/	Study Protocol	Results	Reference
sodium hydroxide	up to 5% aq.	Human (Continued) -patch test in healthy male volunteers of 7 known irritants to determine the optimum concentration to produce mild to moderate reactions in ~75% of individuals tested; -test substance (30 μl/cm <sup>2</sup> ) applied to the volar area of the forearm with 8 mm Finn chambers; -patches removed after 48 h and reactions assessed 1 h later.	<ul> <li>-0% of the subjects had a positive reaction at 1%, 29% of the subjects had a positive reaction at 2%, and 100% of the subjects had a positive reaction at 4%;</li> <li>-at 2%, 4 subjects had +/-reactions;</li> <li>-at 3%, 2 subjects had +/-reactions, 1 subject had 1+ reaction, and 4 subjects had 2+ reaction;</li> <li>-at 5%, 2 subjects had 3+ reaction and 1 subject had 4+ reaction;</li> <li>-the severity of irritant reactions to sodium hydroxide rose sharply with increasing concentration, with considerable pain in some volunteers, that led to removing the patches</li> </ul>	26
sodium hydroxide	0.5% dissolved in water	-test material was used as a positive control and irritation inducer in an efficacy study of perflurorpolyethers as protective preparations; -7 male and 3 female subjects; -irritant application of 0.05 ml occurred 30 min after pretreatment with protective preparation in 12 mm diameter Finn chambers; -chambers removed after 30 min of exposure and the skin was rubbed dry; -subjects were treated over a 12-day period.	before 48 h. -sodium hydroxide yielded expected irritation as a positive control	27
sodium hydroxide	0.5% dissolved in water	<ul> <li>-test material was used as a positive control and irritation inducer in an efficacy study of perflurorpolyethers as protective preparations;</li> <li>-7 male and 13 female subjects;</li> <li>-irritant application of 0.05 ml occurred 30 min after pretreatment with protective preparation in 12 mm diameter Finn chambers;</li> <li>-chambers removed after 30 min of exposure and the skin dried;</li> <li>-subjects were treated over a 12-day period.</li> </ul>	-sodium hydroxide induced significant irritant reaction from day 1 until the end of the first week, and to a smaller extent from end of week 1 to the end of week 2, as indicated by visual score values, transepidermal water loss (TEWL), and chromametry of the control sites.	22
sodium hydroxide	2% in sterile water, pH 13.7	<ul> <li>closed patch test of different</li> <li>irritants in 16 volunteers (10 female, 6 male) on both arms using 12 mm</li> <li>diameter Finn chambers;</li> <li>-skin damage was evaluated visually and by polysulfide rubber replica;</li> <li>-sodium hydroxide patch was</li> <li>removed at the most 1 h post- application;</li> <li>-visual assessments of the test sites</li> <li>were performed 24, 48, and 96 h post-application;</li> <li>-skin surface imprints with polysulfide rubber were made.</li> </ul>	-at 24 h, reactions were observed in 12 subjects with 3 being scored a 3; -at 48 h, reactions were observed in 9 subjects with 5 being scored a 3; -at 96 h, reactions were observed in 11 subjects with 4 being scored a 3; -in 31% of the imprints, skin damage was observed	25

Ingredient	Concentration/	Study Protocol	Results	Reference
		Human (Continued)		
sodium hydroxide	1 g/v% in distilled water, pH 12.7	<ul> <li>-test of barrier function of the skin following exposure to low concentrations of known irritants;</li> <li>-allergic patch testing in 42 subjects with miscellaneous diseases;</li> <li>-test sites were on unaffected skin of the volar forearm;</li> <li>-test substance (100 μl) was applied for 48 h by 12 mm Finn chambers;</li> <li>-24 h post-exposure, the skin water vapor loss was measured.</li> </ul>	-sodium hydroxide was observed to increase skin water vapor loss when compared to unexposed skin (3.6 g/m <sup>2</sup> h $\pm$ 2.0, p < 0.05).	29
sodium hydroxide	0.5 mol/l	<ul> <li>-19 subjects received two 30 min exposures/day with a 3-h interval for 4 days</li> <li>-50 μl test material via occlusive (Finn Chambers or Scanpor 12 mm diameter discs) and non-occlusive patches</li> <li>-test sites were rinsed with 10 ml of tap water and dried after the 30 min applications</li> </ul>	-highly irritating -application of test material was discontinued after the 3 <sup>rd</sup> day because of the severity of the reactions -increased in TEWL values observed at day 3 -visual scores showed highly significant irritation	28

Ingredient	Concentration	Study Protocol	Results	Reference
1 * 1 1 * 1	<b>50 C</b> 1	Non-Human – In Vitro	• •, ,•	3
calcium hydroxide	50 mg, no further details provided	-HET-CAM in vitro test	-irritating	3
calcium hydroxide	250 mg, no further details provided	-HET-CAM in vitro test	-irritating	3
magnesium hydroxide in physiological saline	details not provided	-BCOP in vitro test	-not irritating -irritancy score was 501 after 240 min of treatment	5
		Ion-Human – In Vivo		3
calcium hydroxide	150g/l	-acute eye irritation/corrosion study in 3 male New Zealand White rabbits	-irritating	3
		-0.1 ml instilled into the conjunctival sac of one eye, eye was not rinsed -observations made at 1, 24, 48, and 72 h after treatment up to 21 days		
calcium hydroxide	10%, pH 9	-acute eye irritation/corrosion study in 1 male New Zealand White rabbit -100 mg instilled into the conjunctival sac -eyes examine after 1 h	-irritating -very severe reactions were observed 1 h after exposure, with pronounced chemosis, necrotized appearance of the conjunctiva, whitish watering and total opacity of the cornea, showing nacreous appearance -iris became totally obscured -test was discontinued after treatment with 1 rabbit for humanitarian reasons.	3
calcium hydroxide	0.01, 0.03, or 0.10 g, no further details provided	-acute eye irritation/corrosion study in New Zealand White rabbits -9 rabbits received low dose, 6 rabbits each received medium and high doses -test material applied directly to the cornea of one eye of each rabbit -observations made a 1, 3, 7, 14, and 21 days after treatment	-irritating -study halted at 14 days for the medium and high dose groups due to severe eye irritation -expected return to normalcy in the eye of the low dose group was greater than 21 days.	32
nagnesium hydroxide	details not provided	-acute eye irritation/corrosion study in 3 male New Zealand White rabbits -rabbits received an average instillation of 57.3 mg (dose volume 0.1 ml) of the test substance in the conjunctival sac of one eye, eye was not rinsed -observations made at 1, 24, 48, and 72 h after instillation	-not irritating -slight dulling of normal luster and/or epithelial damage in 2 rabbits resolved within 24 or 48 h -iridial irritation grade 1 observed in all rabbits resolved within 24h -irritation of the conjunctivae consisting of redness, chemosis, and discharge in all rabbits resolved within 72 h	5
potassium hydroxide in water	0.1%, 0.5%, 1%, 5%	<ul> <li>acute eye irritation/corrosion study in 10 albino rabbit eye</li> <li>-0.1 ml instilled for 5 min or 24 h, with observations performed at 1, 24, 48, and 72 h and 7 days</li> <li>eyes rinsed following exposure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>-highly corrosive at 5% for 5 min (1 rabbit)</li> <li>-irritant at1% for 5 min (3 rabbits)</li> <li>-marginal irritant at 0.5% for 24 h (3 rabbits)</li> <li>-no ocular reactions at 0.1% for 24 h (3 rabbits)</li> </ul>	39
odium hydroxide in vater	1.0% or 2.0%	<ul> <li>acute eye irritation/corrosion study in 6 New Zealand White rabbits</li> <li>-0.1 ml instilled into lower conjunctival sac</li> <li>-observations made a 4, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h</li> </ul>	-2% caused moderate corneal injury (score = 2.0 out of 4); severe conjunctival irritation was observed between 4 and 96 h -lesser effects were observed with the 1% solution (no further details provided)	33

Ingredient	Concentration	Study Protocol	Results	Reference
sodium hydroxide in water	0.5% or 10%	<ul> <li>- acute eye irritation/corrosion study in New Zealand White rabbits</li> <li>-3 groups of 3 rabbits for 0.5%; 4 groups of 3 rabbits for 10%</li> <li>-0.5% groups received 0.01, 0.03, or 0.1 ml</li> <li>-10% groups received 0.003, 0.01, 0.05 ml, or 0.1 ml</li> <li>-observations made at 1 h and 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 14, and 21 days</li> <li>-eves were not washed</li> </ul>	-slight eye irritant at 0.5%, corrosive at 10% -at 0.5%, no corneal effects at 0.01-0.1 ml; grade 1 iridial effects observed in 2/3 animals that cleared by day 1 at 0.1ml -at 10%, irreversible effects on the eye at 0.05 and 0.1 ml	4
sodium hydroxide	details not provided	-eye irritation study in rats (no details provided)	-eye irritation observed at a concentration of 1.25%	31
sodium hydroxide in distilled water	0.004% (0.001 M), 0.04% (0.01 M), 0.2% (0.05 M), 0.4% (0.1M), 1.2% (0.3 M)	<ul> <li>acute eye irritation/corrosion study in a minimum of 7 Stauffland albino rabbits</li> <li>0.1 ml instilled into the lower conjunctival sac</li> <li>observations made 1, 2, 3, 4, 7 days, then every 3-4 days up to 21 days post-treatment</li> </ul>	-non-irritating at 0.004%-0.2% -mild irritation at 0.4% -corrosive at 1.2%	34
sodium hydroxide in water	0.1%, 0.3%, 1.0%, or 3.0% corresponding to pH values of 12.3, 12.8, 13.1, or 13.5	<ul> <li>acute eye irritation/corrosion study in New Zealand albino rabbits</li> <li>2 groups of 6 rabbits; eyes were washed 30 sec after exposure for 2 min with 300 ml tap water and eyes were unwashed after exposure in the second</li> <li>0.1 ml instilled into conjunctival sac</li> <li>observations made 1 h and 1, 2, 3, and 7 days post-treatment</li> </ul>	-conjunctivitis observed at 1.0% and 3.0% that lasted through day 7 -duration of corneal opacities produced by 1.0% reduced as a result of washing test eyes 30 s after instillation	35

Ingredient	Concentration	Study Protocol	Results	Reference
		Non-Human		
potassium hydroxide in water	0.1%	Intracutaneous repeat insult test in 5 male albino guinea pigs	not sensitizing	39
magnesium hydroxide in propylene glycol	0%, 10%, 25%, or 50%	Local lymph node assay (LLNA) in groups of 5 female CBA/J mice	-sensitizing -SI values for 10%, 25%, and 50% were 2.0, 3.6, and 5.9, respectively -EC <sub>3</sub> value calculated to be 19.4%	5
		Human		
sodium hydroxide	induction 0.63% to 1.0%; challenge 0.125%	modified HRIPT in 15 male subjects	-not sensitizing -irritation response well correlated with the concentration of the irritant	49

## References

- 1. Nikitakis J and Breslawec HP. International Cosmetic Ingredient Dictionary and Handbook. 15 ed. Washington, DC: Personal Care Products Council, 2014.
- 2. Schliemann S, Petri M, and Elsner P. Preventing irritant contact dermatitis with protective creams: influence of the application dose. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2013;70:19-26.
- 3. European Chemicals Agency. Calcium dihydroxide. <u>http://echa.europa.eu/</u>. Last Updated 2015. Date Accessed 3-12-2015.
- European Chemicals Agency. Sodium hydroxide. <u>http://www.echa.europa.eu/</u>. Last Updated 2015. Date Accessed 3-12-2015.
- 5. European Chemicals Agency. Magnesium hydroxide. http://echa.europa.eu/. Last Updated 2015. Date Accessed 5-13-2015.
- 6. European Chemicals Agency. Potassium hydroxide. http://echa.europa.eu/. Last Updated 2015. Date Accessed 5-14-2015.
- 7. Kroschwitz JI (ed). Kirk-Othmer Concise Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology. 4th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1999.
- 8. O'Neil MJ (ed). The Merck Index. 15th ed. The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2013.
- 9. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Frequency of use of cosmetic ingredients. *FDA Database*. 2015. Washington, DC: FDA.Data received February 3, 2015 in response to a Freedom of Information Act request.
- 10. Personal Care Products Council. 4-18-2014. Concentration of Use Information for Sodium Hydroxide and other Hydroxides. Unpublished data submitted by Personal Care Products Council.
- 11. Rothe H, Fautz R, Gerber E, Neumann L, Rettinger K, Schuh W, and Gronewold C. Special aspects of cosmetic spray safety evaluations: Principles on inhalation risk assessment. *Toxicol Lett.* 2011;205(2):97-104.
- 12. Rothe H. Special Aspects of Cosmetic Spray Evalulation. 9-26-2011.
- Bremmer HJ, Prud'homme de Lodder LCH, and Engelen JGM. Cosmetics Fact Sheet: To assess the risks for the consumer; Updated version for ConsExpo 4. 2006. Report No. RIVM 320104001/2006. pp. 1-77.
- 14. Johnsen MA. The Influence of Particle Size. Spray Technology and Marketing. 2004;14(11):24-27.
- European Union. Regulation (EC) No. 1223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on Cosmetic Products. 2009. Internet site accessed January 10, 2014. <u>http://eurlex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2009:342:0059:0209:en:PDF</u>
- 16. Bruce RD. A confirmatory study of the up-and-down method for acute oral toxicity testing. *Fundam Appl Toxicol*. 1987;8:97-100.
- 17. Van Kolfschoten AA, Zandberg P, Jager LP, and Van Noordwijk J. Protection by paracetamol against various gastric irritants in the rat. *Toxicol Appl Pharamcol.* 1983;69:37-42.
- 18. Bromberg BE, Song IC, and Walden RH. Hydrotherapy of chemical burns. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1965;35:85-95.
- 19. Zwicker GM, Allen MD, and Stevens DL. Toxicity of aerosols of sodium reaction products. *J Environ Pathol Toxciol*. 1979;2:1139-1150.
- 20. Nishimura H, Higo Y, Ohno M, Tsutsui TW, and Tsutsui T. Ability of root canal antiseptics used in dental practice to induce chromosome aberrations in human dental pulp cells. *Mut Res.* 2008;649:45-53.

- 21. Klaassen CD (ed). Casarett & Doull's Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons. 8th ed. McGraw Hill Education, 2013.
- 22. Schliemann-Willers S, Wigger-Alberti W, and Elsner P. Efficacy of a new class of perfluoropolyethers in the prevention of irritant contact dermatitis. *Acta Derm Venereol.* 2001;81:392-394.
- 23. York M, Griffiths HA, Whittle E, and Basketter DA. Evaluation of a human patch test for the identification and classification of skin irritation potential. *Contact Dermatitis*. 1996;34:204-212.
- 24. Agner T and Serup J. Contact thermography for assessment of skin damage due to experimental irritants. *Acta Derm Venereol (Stockh)*. 1988;68:192-195.
- 25. Agner T and Serup J. Skin reactions to irritants assessed by polysulfide rubber replica. *Contact Dermatitis*. 1987;17:205-211.
- 26. Willis CM, Stephans CJM, and Wilkinson JD. Experimentally-induced irritant contact dermatitis: determination of optimum irritant concentrations. *Contact Dermatitis*. 1988;18:20-24.
- 27. Elsner P, Wigger-Alberti W, and Pantini G. Perfluoropolyethers in the prevention of irritant contact dermatitis. *Dermatology*. 1998;197:141-145.
- Fluhr JW, Bankova L, Fuchs S, Kelterer D, Schliemann-Willers S, Norgauer J, Kleesz P, Grieshaber R, and Elsner P. Fruit acids and sodium hydroxide in the food industry and their combined effect with sodium lauryl sulphate: controlled in vivo tandem irritation study. *Br J Dermatol.* 2004;151(1039):1048
- 29. van der Valk PGM, Nater JP, and Bleumink E. The influence of low concentrations of irritants on skin barrier function as determined by water vapour loss. *Derm Beruf Umwelt*. 1985;33(3):89-91.
- 30. Vernot EH, MacEwen JD, Haun CC, and Kinkead ER. Acute toxicity and skin corrosion data for some organic and inorganic compounds and aqueous solutions. *Toxicol Appl Pharamcol.* 1977;42:417-423.
- 31. Sekizawa J, Yasuhara K, Suyama Y, Yamanaka S, Tobe M, and Nishimura M. A simple method for screening assessment of skin and eye irritation. *J Toxicol Sci.* 1994;19:25-35.
- 32. Griffith JF, Nixon GA, Bruce RD, Reer PJ, and Bannan EA. Dose-response studies with chemical irritants in the albino rabbit eye as a basis for selecting optimum testing conditions for predicting hazard to the human eye. *Toxicol Appl Pharamcol.* 1980;55:501-513.
- 33. Jacobs GA. OECD eye irritation tests on sodium hydroxide. J Amer Coll Toxicol. 1992;11:725-725.
- 34. Morgan RL, Sorenson SS, and Castles TR. Prediction of ocular irritation by corneal pachymetry. *Fd Chem Toxic*. 1987;25(8):609-613.
- 35. Murphy JC, Osterberg RE, Seabaugh VM, and Bierbower GW. Ocular irritancy responses to various pHs of acids and bases with and without irrigation. *Toxicology*. 1982;23:281-291.
- Nielsen TK, Kragholm K, Odgaard A, Sommerlund M, and Kolstad HA. Recurrent cyclic hyperkeratotic eczema after occupational alkali burn: traumatic chronic irritant dermatitis. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2009;60:345-346.
- 37. Lewis RJ. Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary. 135h ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2007.
- Smyth HF, Carpenter CP, Weil CS, Pozzani UC, Striegel JA, and Nycum JS. Range-finding toxicity data: List VII. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J. 1969;30(5):470-476.
- Johnson GT, Lewis TR, and Wagner WD. Acute toxicity of cesium and rubidium compounds. *Toxicol Appl Pharamcol*. 1975;32:239-245.
- 40. Robert A, Nezamis JE, Lancaster C, and Hanchar AJ. Cytoprotection by prostaglandins in rats. Prevention of gastric necrosis produced by alcohol, HCl, NaOH, hypertonic NaCl, and thermal injury. *Gastroenterology*. 1979;77:433-443.
- 41. Ashcraft KW and Padula RT. The effect of dilute corrosives on the esophagus. Pediatrics. 1974;53(2):226-232.

- 42. Oohara T, Sadatsuki H, Kaminishi M, and Mitarai Y. Simple alkaline treatment induces intestinal metaplasia in the stomach of rats. *Path Res Pract.* 1982;175:365-372.
- 43. Demerec M, Bertani G, and Flint J. A survey of chemicals for mutagenic action on E. coli. *Am Naturalist*. 1951;85(821):119-136.
- 44. Morita T, Watanabe Y, Takeda K, and Okumura K. Effects of pH in the in vitro chromosomal aberration test. *Mut Res.* 1989;225:55-60.
- 45. De Flora S, Zanacchi P, Camoirano A, Bennicelli C, and Badolati GS. Genotoxic activity and potency of 135 compounds in the Ames reversion test and in a bacterial DNA-repair test. *Mut Res.* 1984;133:161-198.
- 46. McCarroll NE, Piper CE, and Keech BH. An E. coli microsuspension assay for the detection of DNA damage induced by direct-acting agents and promutagens. *Environ Mutagen*. 1981;3(4):429-444.
- 47. Aaron CS, SorgR, and Zimmer D. The mouse bone marrow micronucleus test: Evaluation of 21 drug candidates. *Mut Res*. 1989;223:129-140.
- 48. Brook JD and Chandley AC. Testing of 3 chemical compounds for aneupoloidy induction in the female mouse. *Mut Res.* 1985;157:215-220.
- 49. Park KB and Eun HC. A study of skin responses to follow-up, rechallenge and combined effects of irritants using noninvasive measurements. *J Dermatol Sci.* 1995;10:159-165.