# Rereview Summaries for Acid Orange 3, Chloroxylenol, Erythorbic Acid, Glyceryl Diesters, Hexamidine & Hexamidine Diiesthionate, Mink Oil, and Sodium Lauryl Sulfoacetate

Status: Final

Release Date: January 12, 2023
Panel Meeting Date: December 5-6, 2022

NOTE: Rereview Summaries reflect recent Panel deliberations of previously reviewed cosmetic ingredients by the Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety. Panel generally rereviews ingredients on a 15-year cycle, but priorities in a given year may bring forward ingredients for rereview at any time. Rereview Summaries are shortened and updated assessments based on the original assessment and reflecting recent changes made by the Panel to better highlight any new information.

The Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety members are: Chair, Wilma F. Bergfeld, M.D., F.A.C.P.; Donald V. Belsito, M.D.; David E. Cohen, M.D.; Curtis D. Klaassen, Ph.D.; Allan E. Rettie, Ph.D.; David Ross, Ph.D.; Thomas J. Slaga, Ph.D.; Paul W. Snyder, D.V.M., Ph.D.; and Susan C. Tilton, Ph.D. Previous Panel members involved in this assessment: Daniel C. Liebler, Ph.D.; Lisa A. Peterson, Ph.D.; and Ronald C. Shank, Ph.D. The Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR) Executive Director is Bart Heldreth, Ph.D. These rereview summary assessments were prepared by CIR Analysts and Writers for the Expert Panel.

# **ACID ORANGE 3**

The Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety (Panel) first published the Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Acid Orange 3 in 2000. The Panel concluded that this ingredient is safe for use in hair dye formulations at concentrations  $\leq 0.2\%$ .

Because it has been at least 15 years since the final report was published, in accordance with Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR) Procedures, the Panel determined whether the safety assessment should be reopened. At the September 2022 meeting, the Panel considered updated 2022 information regarding product types and ingredient use frequencies as reported in the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program (VCRP) database² and maximum use concentrations provided by the Personal Care Products Council (Council).³ According to 2022 VCRP survey data, Acid Orange 3 is used in one formulation; it is reported to be used in a nail polish and enamel.² The results of the concentration of use survey provided by the Council in 2022 reported no uses for this ingredient.³ When the original safety assessment was published in 2000, Acid Orange 3 was reported to be used in 4 hair dye formulations (data acquired in 1997).¹ At that time, concentrations of use were no longer reported by the FDA; however, data available from the FDA in 1984 indicated that Acid Orange 3 was used in one hair dye formulation at a concentration between 10% and 25% and 33 hair dye formulations at ≤ 1%.

An extensive search of the world's literature was performed for studies dated 1997 forward, and new data were found.<sup>4-7</sup> The Panel agreed that an updated search of the published literature did not reveal toxicity data that warrant re-evaluation of the safety of this ingredient in cosmetic products. The Panel did note that the European Union has banned Acid Orange 3 for use in cosmetic products; however, this ban is due to a lack of safety test data and not because of any toxicological findings.

The Panel remarked on the reported use in a non-coloring cosmetic product (nail polish and enamel). The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) mandates that color additives must be approved by FDA for their intended use before they are used. Acid Orange 3 is an unapproved color additive in cosmetics products, and thereby, such use is not permitted. This use is not within the purview of this Panel.

However, due to the "coal-tar hair dye exemption" of the FD&C Act, hair dye products labeled with the following caution statement do not require FDA approval prior to use:

Caution - This product contains ingredients which may cause skin irritation on certain individuals and a preliminary test according to accompanying directions should first be made. This product must not be used for dyeing the eyelashes or eyebrows; to do so may cause blindness.

Thus, use of this ingredient in hair dye products is permitted and is within the purview of this Panel.

Finally, Acid Orange 3 is a secondary amine; a concern is the conversion of secondary amines (R1-NH-R2) into N-nitrosamines that may be carcinogenic.<sup>8</sup> The Panel cautions that this ingredient should not be used in cosmetic products in which *N*-nitroso compounds can be formed.

The Panel reviewed 2022 frequency and concentration of use data, in addition to any new, available, relevant safety data, and reaffirmed the 2000 conclusion regarding the safety of Acid Orange 3 in hair dye formulations.

- 1. Andersen FA (ed.). Final report on the safety assessment of Acid Orange 3. Int J Toxicol. 2000;19(Suppl 1):1-9.
- 2. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Center for Food Safety & Applied Nutrition (CFSAN). Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program Frequency of Use of Cosmetic Ingredients. College Park, MD. 2022. (Obtained under the Freedom of Information Act from CFSAN; requested as "Frequency of Use Data" January 4, 2022; received January 11, 2022.)
- 3. Personal Care Products Council. 2022. Concentration of Use by FDA Product Category: Acid Orange 3. Unpublished data submitted by the Personal Care Products Council on January 12, 2022.
- 4. European Commission. Cosing database; following Cosmetic Regulation (EC) No. 1223/2009. http://ec.europa.eu/growth/tools-databases/cosing/ Last updated 2022. Accessed 06/29/2022.
- Scientific Committee on Cosmetic and Non-Food Products (SCCNFP). Opinion concerning hair dyes without file submitted. 2004. SCCNFP/0807/04. <a href="https://ec.europa.eu/health/archive/ph\_risk/committees/sccp/documents/out267\_en.pdf">https://ec.europa.eu/health/archive/ph\_risk/committees/sccp/documents/out267\_en.pdf</a>. Accessed 04/19/2022.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Volume 57: Occupational Exposure of Hairdressers and Barbers and Personal Use of Hair Colourants; Some Hair Dyes, Cosmetic Colourants, Industrial Dyestuffs and Aromatic Amines. Lyon, France 1993. <a href="https://monographs.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/mono57.pdf">https://monographs.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/mono57.pdf</a>. Accessed 07/26/2022. Pages 121-127.

- 7. DiNardo J, Draelos ZD. An animal model assessment of common dye-induced allergic contact dermatitis. *J Cosmet Sci.* 2007;58(3):209-214.
- 8. Lopez-Rodriguez R, McManus JA, Murphy NS, Ott MA, Burns MJ. Pathways for *N*-nitroso compound formation: Secondary amines and beyond. *Org Process Res Dev.* 2020;24:1558-1585.

### CHLOROXYLENOL

The Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety (Panel) first published the Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Chloroxylenol in 1985.<sup>1</sup> The Panel concluded that Chloroxylenol is safe as used in cosmetics, as described in the safety assessment. Upon re-review, the Panel reaffirmed the original conclusion, as published in 2006.<sup>2</sup>

Because it has been at least 15 years since the prior re-review was published, in accordance with Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR) Procedures, the Panel again determined whether the safety assessment should be reopened. At the September 2022 meeting, the Panel considered updated (2022) information regarding product types and ingredient use frequencies as reported in the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program (VCRP) database<sup>3</sup> and maximum use concentrations provided in response to the survey conducted by the Personal Care Products Council.<sup>4</sup> The frequency of use of Chloroxylenol increased slightly. In 2002, Chloroxylenol was reported to be used in 43 formulations.<sup>2</sup> According to 2022 VCRP data, Chloroxylenol is now reported to be used in 51 formulations. The maximum reported concentration of use, 0.05%, has not changed since the last review. The cumulative frequency and concentration of use data are presented in Table 1.

An extensive search of the world's literature was performed for studies dated 2000 forward, and new data were found.<sup>5-18</sup> The Panel agreed, however, that the published literature did not reveal toxicity or other data that warrant re-evaluation of the safety of this ingredient in cosmetic products. The Panel noted depigmentation following dermal exposure to undiluted Chloroxylenol and an antiseptic formulation containing predominantly Chloroxylenol. Depigmentation/skin lightening is considered a drug effect, and therefore evaluation of this effect is not within the purview of the Panel; however, manufacturers should take caution when formulating cosmetic products containing Chloroxylenol to ensure that skin lightening is not a side effect. Furthermore, concern for this endpoint with the use of this ingredient in cosmetics was mitigated in that the concentrations the subjects were exposed to when depigmentation was observed were much higher than what is used in cosmetics.

The Panel reviewed 2022 frequency and concentration of use data, in addition to any new, available, relevant safety data, and once again reaffirmed the 1985 conclusion regarding the safety of Chloroxylenol in cosmetics.

Table 1. Frequency (2022; 2002) and concentration (2022; 2003) of use according to duration and exposure

Table 1. 11 equency (2022, 2002) and		Uses	Max Conc	
	20223	2002 <sup>2</sup>	20224	2003 <sup>2</sup>
Totals*	51	43	0.1 - 0.5	0.1 - 0.5
Duration of Use				
Leave-On	40	20	0.1 - 0.3	0.1 - 0.2
Rinse-Off	10	23	0.2 - 0.5	0.4 - 0.5
Diluted for (Bath) Use	1	NR	NR	NR
Exposure Type				
Eye Area	8	1	NR	NR
Incidental Ingestion	NR	NR	NR	0.4
Incidental Inhalation-Spray	20°; 5 <sup>b</sup>	7ª; 2 <sup>b</sup>	NR	0.1s; 0.2b
Incidental Inhalation-Powder	5 <sup>b</sup>	2 <sup>b</sup>	$0.1 - 0.3^{\circ}$	0.2 <sup>b</sup> ; 0.1 <sup>c</sup>
Dermal Contact	40	31	0.1 - 0.5	0.1 - 0.5
Deodorant (underarm)	NR	1ª	NR	NR
Hair - Non-Coloring	4	12	0.3	NR
Hair-Coloring	NR	NR	NR	NR
Nail	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mucous Membrane	4	12	0.2 - 0.5	0.4
Baby Products	NR	NR	0.1 - 0.3	0.1

<sup>\*</sup>Because each ingredient may be used in cosmetics with multiple exposure types, the sum of all exposure types may not equal the sum of total uses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> It is possible these products are sprays, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are sprays.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Not specified whether a spray or a powder, but it is possible the use can be as a spray or a powder, therefore the information is captured in both categories

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> It is possible these products are powders, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are powders.

NR - not reported

- 1. Andersen F.A. (ed). Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Chloroxylenol. J Am Coll Toxicol. 1985;4(5):147-169.
- 2. Andersen F.A. (ed). Annual Review of Cosmetic Ingredient Safety Assessments—2004/2005. Chloroxylenol. *Int J Toxicol*. 2006;25:21-24.
- 3. US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Center for Food Safety & Applied Nutrition (CFSAN). 2022. Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program Frequency of Use of Cosmetic Ingredients. (Obtained under the Freedom of Information Act from CFSAN; requested as "Frequency of Use Data" January 4, 2022; received January 11, 2022). College Park, MD.
- 4. Personal Care Products Council. 2022. Concentration of Use by FDA Product Category: Chloroxylenol. (Unpublished data submitted to Personal Care Products Council on July 7, 2022.)
- 5. European Commission. CosIng database; following Cosmetic Regulation No. 1223/2009. <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/growth/tools-databases/cosing/">http://ec.europa.eu/growth/tools-databases/cosing/</a>. Last Updated: 2016. Accessed: October 10, 2020.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED). Washington, D.C.1994. https://archive.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/web/pdf/3045.pdf. Accessed October 20, 2022.
- 7. European Chemicals Agency (ECHA). 4-Chloro-3,5-xylenol. <a href="https://echa.europa.eu/da/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/26222">https://echa.europa.eu/da/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/26222</a>. Last Updated: 2022. Accessed: October 17, 2022.
- 8. Yost LJ, Rodricks JD, Turnbull D, et al. Human health risk assessment of chloroxylenol in liquid hand soap and dishwashing soap used by consumers and health-care professionals. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2016;80:116-124.
- 9. El-Naggar DA, El-Zalabany LMA, Shahin DA, Attia AM, El-Mosallamy SA. Testicular toxicity of chloroxylenol in rats: biochemical, pathological and flow cytometric study. *J Exp Pharmacol*. 2022;14:213-220.
- 10. Marquardt C, Matuschek E, Bölke E, et al. Evaluation of the tissue toxicity of antiseptics by the hen's egg test on the chorioallantoic membrane (HETCAM). *Eur J Med Res.* 2010;15(5):204-209.
- 11. Mehrtens SH, Reckling C. Contact urticaria with anaphylaxis caused by chlorocresol, chloroxylenol, and thiourea. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2019;80(5):311-313.
- 12. Berthelot C, Zirwas MJ. Allergic contact dermatitis to chloroxylenol. *Dermatitis*. 2006;17(3):156-159.
- 13. Verma GK, Mahajan VK, Shanker V, Tegta GR, Jindal N, Minhas S. Contact depigmentation following irritant contact dermatitis to chloroxylenol (Dettol). *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol*. 2011;77(5):612-614.
- Malakar S, Panda S. Post-inflammatory depigmentation following allergic contact dermatitis to chloroxylenol. Br J Dermatol. 2001;144(6):1275-1276.
- 15. Fransway AF, Zug KA, Belsito DV, et al. North American Contact Dermatitis Group patch test results for 2007-2008. *Dermatitis*. 2013;24(1):10-21.
- 16. Jong CT, Statham BN, Green CM, et al. Contact sensitivity to preservatives in the UK, 2004-2005: results of multicentre study. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2007;57(3):165-168.
- 17. Nakama A, Funasaka K, Shimizu M. Evaluation of estrogenic activity of organic biocides using ER-binding and YES assay. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2007;45(9):1558-1564.
- 18. DeKoven JG, Warshaw EM, Zug KA, et al. North American Contact Dermatitis Group Patch Test Results: 2015-2016. *Dermatitis*. 2018;29(6):297-309.

# **ERYTHORBIC ACID and SODIUM ERYTHORBATE**

The Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety (Panel) first published a Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Erythorbic Acid and Sodium Erythorbate, as part of a larger group of ingredients, in 1999.<sup>1</sup> The Panel concluded that these ingredients are safe for use as cosmetic ingredients in the present practices of use. It should be noted that Ascorbyl Palmitate, Ascorbyl Dipalmitate, and Ascorbyl Stearate, which were a part of the original review, were added to a grouping of ethers and esters of ascorbic acid for which a safety assessment was published in 2017, and therefore, are not included as part of this rereview.

Because it has been at least 15 years since the final report was published, in accordance with Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR) Procedures, the Panel determined whether the safety assessment should be reopened. At the September 2022 meeting, the Panel considered updated (2022) information regarding product types and ingredient use frequencies as reported in the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program (VCRP) database<sup>2</sup> and the maximum use concentrations provided in response to the survey conducted by the Personal Care Products Council.<sup>3</sup> In the original 1999 report, there were 727 reported uses for Erythorbic Acid, which has since decreased to 300 reported uses in 2022. Similarly, the overall reported concentrations of use have decreased for both ingredients. In both the historical and 2022 data, the bulk of reported uses are for non-coloring hair preparations. Sodium Erythorbate, which was reported to be used in hair coloring preparations at > 50% in 1984, is reported to be used at up to 0.3% in hair dyes and colors in 2022. The cumulative frequency and concentration of use data are presented in Table 1.

An extensive search of the world's literature was performed for studies dated 1994 forward, and minimal new published data was found. One noteworthy finding included a local lymph node assay performed in female mice in which Sodium Erythorbate was tested at 5, 10, or 25% in propylene glycol. The stimulation index for the maximum concentration tested (25%) was 1.29; all stimulation index values were < 3, and indicating that Sodium Erythorbate is not a sensitizer.

The Panel reviewed 2022 frequency and concentration of use data, in addition to any new, available, relevant safety data, and reaffirmed the 1999 conclusion that these ingredients are safe for use as cosmetic ingredients in the present practices of use.

Table 1. 2022 and historical frequency and concentration of use of Erythorbic Acid and Sodium Erythorbate according to duration and exposure

	# of Uses		Max Conc o	f Use (%)	# of U	ses	Max Cone	c of Use (%)
	Erythorbic Acid			Sodium Erythorbate				
	2022 <sup>2</sup>	1996 <sup>1</sup>	2022 <sup>3</sup>	1984 <sup>1</sup>	20222	1996¹	20223	1984¹
Totals*	300	727	0.1-0.7	1**	6	19	0.3	> 50**
Duration of Use								
Leave-On	2	1	0.1	**	NR	NR	NR	**
Rinse-Off	298	726	0.2-0.7	**	6	19	0.3	**
Diluted for (Bath) Use	NR	NR	NR	**	NR	NR	NR	**
Exposure Type								
Eye Area	1	NR	0.1	**	NR	NR	NR	**
Incidental Ingestion	NR	1	NR	**	NR	NR	NR	**
Incidental Inhalation-Spray	1 a	1ª	0.1 <sup>a</sup> ; 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	**	NR	NR	NR	**
Incidental Inhalation-Powder	NR	NR	0.1 <sup>b</sup>	**	NR	NR	NR	**
Dermal Contact	2	NR	0.1	**	NR	NR	NR	**
Deodorant (underarm)	NR	NR	NR	**	NR	NR	NR	**
Hair - Non-Coloring	NR	4	NR	**	NR	NR	NR	**
Hair-Coloring	298	721	0.2-0.7	**	6	19	0.3	>50**
Nail	NR	1	NR	**	NR	NR	NR	**
Mucous Membrane	NR	1	NR	**	NR	NR	NR	**
Baby Products	NR	NR	NR	**	NR	NR	NR	**

<sup>\*</sup>Because each ingredient may be used in cosmetics with multiple exposure types, the sum of all exposure types may not equal the sum of total uses.

\*\*At the time of the 1999 safety assessment, concentration of use data were not reported. However, data provided to the FDA in 1984 data were

NR - not reported

presented; use categories were not indicated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> It is possible these products are sprays, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are sprays.

b Not specified whether a spray or a powder, but it is possible the use can be as a spray or a powder, therefore the information is captured in both categories

- 1. Andersen FA (ed). Final report on the safety assessment of ascorbyl palmitate, ascorbyl dipalmitate, ascorbyl stearate, erythorbic acid, and sodium erythorbate. *Int J Toxicol*. 1999;18(Suppl 3):1-26.
- 2. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Center for Food Safety & Applied Nutrition (CFSAN). 2022. Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program Frequency of Use of Cosmetic Ingredients (VCRP). Obtained under the Freedom of Information Act from CFSAN; requested as "Frequency of Use Data" January 4, 2022; received January 11, 2022.
- 3. Personal Care Products Council. 2022. Concentration of Use by FDA Product Category: Erythorbic Acid and Sodium Erythorbate. Unpublished data submitted by the Personal Care Products Council on January 13, 2022.
- 4. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Scientific opinion on the re-evaluation of erythorbic acid (E 315) and sodium erythorbate (E 316) as food additives. *EFSA J.* 2016;14(1):4360.
- Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committe on Food Additives (JECFA). Sodium Erythorbate: CAS No: 6381-77-7 (Monograph 1). 2006. <a href="https://www.fao.org/food/food-safety-quality/scientific-advice/jecfa/jecfa-additives/detail/en/c/203/">https://www.fao.org/food/food-safety-quality/scientific-advice/jecfa/jecfa-additives/detail/en/c/203/</a>. Accessed 07/15/2022.
- Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committe on Food Additives (JECFA). Erythorbic Acid: CAS No: 89-65-6. 2006. <a href="https://www.fao.org/food/food-safety-quality/scientific-advice/jecfa/jecfa-additives/detail/en/c/84/">https://www.fao.org/food/food-safety-quality/scientific-advice/jecfa/jecfa-additives/detail/en/c/84/</a>. Accessed 07/15/2022.
- 7. Miura K, Yazama F, Tai A. Oxidative stress-mediated antitumor activity of erythorbic acid in high doses. *Biochem Biophys Rep.* 2015;3:117-122.
- National Toxicology Program (NTP). NTP Study Number: A14055: G06: Ames summary data: Sodium Erythorbate (CAS Number: 6381-77-7). <a href="https://cebs.niehs.nih.gov/cebs/test\_article/6381-77-7">https://cebs.niehs.nih.gov/cebs/test\_article/6381-77-7</a>. Last Updated 07/26/2022. Accessed 07/30/2022.
- National Toxicology Program (NTP). NTP Study Number: 407325: G06: Ames summary data: Sodium Erythorbate (CAS Number: 6381-77-7). <a href="https://cebs.niehs.nih.gov/cebs/test\_article/6381-77-7">https://cebs.niehs.nih.gov/cebs/test\_article/6381-77-7</a>. Last Updated 07/26/2022. Accessed 07/30/2022.
- Pappenberger G, Hohmann H-P. Biotechnology of Food and Feed Additives: Industrial Production of L-Ascorbic Acid (Vitamin C) and D-Isoascorbic Acid. In: Zorn H, Czernmak P, eds. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol. Vol 143. Berlin, Germany 2014: https://www.springerprofessional.de/en/biotechnology-of-food-and-feed-additives/1033768.
- 11. Sauberlich HE, Tamura T, Craig CB, Freeberg LE, Liu T. Effects of erythorbic acid on vitamin C metabolism in young women. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1996;64(3):336-346.
- 12. Spigno G, Pagella C, De Faveri MD. Innovation in sodium erythorbate production: the use of membrane-reactors. *Ann Chim.* 2001;91(3-4):221-228.
- 13. Suzuki E, Kurata T, Arakawa N. Contents of erythorbic acid in the tissues of guinea pigs intraperitoneally administered erythorbic acid. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo)*. 1998;44(5):573-579.
- 14. Yamada M, Honma M. Summarized data of genotoxicity tests for designated food additives in Japan. *Genes Environ*. 2018;40:27.
- 15. European Chemical Agency (ECHA). REACH registration dossier: 2,3-didehydro-3-O-sodio-D-erythro-hexono-1,4-lactone (Sodium Erythorbate; CAS No: 6381-77-7). <a href="https://echa.europa.eu/da/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/11622">https://echa.europa.eu/da/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/11622</a>. Last Updated 2022. Accessed 08/01/2022.

# **GLYCERYL DIESTERS**

The Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety (Panel) first issued a final report on the safety of glyceryl diesters in 2002, with an insufficient data conclusion.<sup>1</sup> Subsequently, the necessary data were received, and in 2007 the Panel published an amended final report on the safety of the glyceryl diester ingredients (listed below).<sup>2</sup> The Panel concluded that these ingredients are safe as cosmetic ingredients in the present practices of use and concentrations described in the safety assessment provided that the content of 1,2-diesters is not high enough to induce epidermal hyperplasia. Glyceryl Dimyristate was originally a part of this group, but has since been reviewed with Myristic Acid ingredients, and is therefore not included in this rereview.

Glyceryl Dilaurate Glyceryl Diricinoleate Glyceryl Diarachidate Glyceryl Dipalmitate Glyceryl Dibehenate Glyceryl Dipalmitoleate Glyceryl Dierucate Glyceryl Distearate Glyceryl Dihydroxystearate Glyceryl Palmitate Lactate Glyceryl Diisopalmitate Glyceryl Stearate Citrate Glyceryl Stearate Lactate Glyceryl Diisostearate Glyceryl Dilinoleate Glyceryl Stearate Succinate Glyceryl Dioleate

Because it has been at least 15 years since the amended final report was published, in accordance with Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR) Procedures, the Panel determined whether the safety assessment should be reopened. At the September 2022 meeting, the Panel considered updated (2022) information regarding product types and ingredient use frequencies as reported by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program (VCRP) database<sup>3</sup> and the maximum use concentrations provided in response to the survey conducted by the Personal Care Products Council.<sup>4</sup> Generally, there has been an increase in frequency of use since the last review in 2007. As of 2022, Glyceryl Distearate and Glyceryl Stearate Citrate were reported to be used in baby products, when there were no reported uses for glyceryl diesters in this product category previously. Glyceryl Stearate Citrate, which had no reported uses in the original report, is reported to have 164 uses, at a maximum concentration of use of 4% in non-spray moisturizing products, in 2022. Additionally, although the number of reported uses of Glyceryl Diisostearate in lipstick increased, the maximum reported concentrations of use for this product category significantly decreased, from 43% in 1999 to 0.54% in 2022. The cumulative frequency and concentration of use data are presented in Table 1. Ingredients with no reported use are listed in Table 2.

An extensive search of the world's literature was performed for studies dated 2002 forward, and new data were found. 5-27 The Panel considered new chronic toxicity, 14,18 developmental and reproductive toxicity, 19-21 and carcinogenicity/tumor promotion studies. 22-25 In addition to these studies having negative results, the FDA-approved use of Glyceryl Dibehenate and Glyceryl Distearate as inactive ingredients in oral capsule formulations, 26 as well as the approved use of diacylglycerol oil and diglycerides as food additives, reassured the Panel of safety. 27

The Panel reviewed 2022 frequency and concentration of use data, in addition to any new, available, relevant safety data, and reaffirmed the 2007 conclusion regarding the safety of glyceryl diesters in cosmetics.

Table 1. 2022 and historical frequency and concentration of use according to duration and exposure

	# of U	Jses	Max Conc o	of Use (%)	# of U	ses	Max Conc o	of Use (%)
	Glyceryl Dibehenate			Glyceryl Diisostearate				
	2022 <sup>3</sup>	2002 <sup>2</sup>	20224	1999²	20223	2002 <sup>2</sup>	20224	1999 <sup>2</sup>
Totals*	32	NR	1	NR	139	97	0.54	18-43
Duration of Use								
Leave-On	32	NR	1	NR	138	97	0.54	18-43
Rinse-Off	NR	NR	NR	NR	1	NR	NR	NR
Diluted for (Bath) Use	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Exposure Type								
Eye Area	8	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Incidental Ingestion	1	NR	NR	NR	124	93	0.54	43
Incidental Inhalation-Spray	5 <sup>a</sup> ; 12 <sup>b</sup>	NR	1ª	NR	3 <sup>a</sup> ; 3 <sup>b</sup>	1; 1 <sup>a</sup> ; 1 <sup>b</sup>	NR	18
Incidental Inhalation-Powder	12 <sup>b</sup>	NR	NR	NR	3 <sup>b</sup>	1 <sup>b</sup>	NR	NR
Dermal Contact	26	NR	1	NR	15	3	NR	18
Deodorant (underarm)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Hair - Non-Coloring	1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Hair-Coloring	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Nail	2	NR	NR	NR	NR	1	NR	NR
Mucous Membrane	1	NR	NR	NR	124	93	0.54	43
Baby Products	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 1. 2022 and historical frequency and concentration of use according to duration and exposure

Table 1. 2022 and historical f	# of U		Max Conc		# of Uses Max Conc of Use (%)				
-	# 01 C		yl Dilaurate	71 030 (70)	# 01 C		yl Dioleate	01 030 (70)	
	20223	20022	20224	1999²	20223	20022	20224	1999²	
Totals*	27	35	1-4	0.02-5	10	1	NR	0.8-2	
Duration of Use				1 2772 2				1 200	
Leave-On	26	27	1-4	2-5	10	1	NR	0.8-2	
Rinse-Off	1	8	NR	0.02-5	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Diluted for (Bath) Use	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Exposure Type								1	
Eye Area	NR	NR	NR	2	1	NR	NR	NR	
Incidental Ingestion	NR	1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Incidental Inhalation-Spray	22ª; 4 <sup>b</sup>	8a; 13b	NR	5a; 2-4b	5 <sup>a</sup> ; 1 <sup>b</sup>	NR	NR	2a; 0.8b	
Incidental Inhalation-Powder	4 <sup>b</sup>	13 <sup>b</sup>	1.5°	2-4 <sup>b</sup>	1; 1 <sup>b</sup>	NR	NR	0.8 <sup>b</sup>	
Dermal Contact	27	34	1-4	0.02-5	10	1	NR	0.8-2	
Deodorant (underarm)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Hair - Non-Coloring	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Hair-Coloring	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Nail	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Mucous Membrane	NR	4	NR	0.02	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Baby Products	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
		Glycery	Dipalmitate	•		Glycery	l Distearate	•	
	20223	20022	20224	1999 <sup>2</sup>	20223	2002	20224	1999²	
Totals*	NR	NR	1.1	NR	16	NR	0.05-4.4	0.00003-7	
Duration of Use			•	•	-				
Leave-On	NR	NR	1.1	NR	14	NR	0.05-4.4	0.00003-7	
Rinse-Off	NR	NR	NR	NR	2	NR	0.3-2.2	0.0003-6	
Diluted for (Bath) Use	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Exposure Type								1	
Eve Area	NR	NR	NR	NR	2	NR	2.3	0.003-0.5	
Incidental Ingestion	NR	NR	NR	NR	1	NR	NR	7	
Incidental Inhalation-Spray	NR	NR	NR	NR	6ª; 2 <sup>b</sup>	NR	2.9ª	0.00003-7 <sup>a</sup> ; 0.2-7 <sup>b</sup>	
Incidental Inhalation-Powder	NR	NR	1.1°	NR	2 <sup>b</sup>	NR	0.22-4.4 <sup>b</sup>	0.2-7 <sup>b</sup>	
Dermal Contact	NR	NR	1.1	NR	12	NR	0.05-4.4	0.00003-7	
Deodorant (underarm)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Hair - Non-Coloring	NR	NR	NR	NR	3	NR	0.3-0.55	6	
Hair-Coloring	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Nail	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.02	
Mucous Membrane	NR	NR	NR	NR	1	NR	NR	7	
Baby Products	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.55-1.1	NR	
		Glyceryl S	tearate Citrate			Glyceryl S	tearate Lactate	;	
	20223	2002 <sup>2</sup>	20224	1999 <sup>2</sup>	20223	2002²	20224	1999²	
Totals*	164	NR	0.5-4	NR	NR	NR	NR	5	
Duration of Use									
Leave-On	142	NR	0.5-4	NR	NR	NR	NR	5	
Rinse-Off	21	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Diluted for (Bath) Use	1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Exposure Type									
Eye Area	6	NR	1.2	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Incidental Ingestion	1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Incidental Inhalation-Spray	1;53°; 61°	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	5 <sup>a</sup> ; 5 <sup>b</sup>	
Incidental Inhalation-Powder	61 <sup>b</sup> ; 4 <sup>c</sup>	NR	0.5-2°	NR	NR	NR	NR	5 <sup>b</sup>	
Dermal Contact	157	NR	0.5-4	NR	NR	NR	NR	5	
Deodorant (underarm)	4ª	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	5ª	
Hair - Non-Coloring	6	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Hair-Coloring	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Nail	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Mucous Membrane	6	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
		NR		1110		1110	1110	1111	

Table 1. 2022 and historical frequency and concentration of use according to duration and exposure

Tuble 1. 2022 and installed in	# of U		Max Conc		# of Uses	Max Conc of Use (%)
	Glyceryl Stearate Succinate			e		
	2022 <sup>3</sup>	2002 <sup>2</sup>	20224	1999 <sup>2</sup>		
Totals*	1	NR	NR	NR		
Duration of Use						
Leave-On	1	NR	NR	NR		
Rinse-Off	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Diluted for (Bath) Use	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Exposure Type						
Eye Area	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Incidental Ingestion	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Incidental Inhalation-Spray	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Incidental Inhalation-Powder	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Dermal Contact	1	NR	NR	NR		
Deodorant (underarm)	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Hair - Non-Coloring	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Hair-Coloring	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Nail	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Mucous Membrane	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Baby Products	NR	NR	NR	NR		

<sup>\*</sup>Because each ingredient may be used in cosmetics with multiple exposure types, the sum of all exposure types may not equal the sum of total uses.

NR - not reported

# Table 2. Ingredients not reported to be in use (2022)<sup>3,4</sup>

Glyceryl Diarachidate

Glyceryl Dierucate

Glyceryl Dihydroxystearate

Glyceryl Diisopalmitate

Glyceryl Dilinoleate

Glyceryl Diricinoleate

Glyceryl Dipalmitoleate

Glyceryl Palmitate Lactate

- Bergfeld WF, Belsito DV, Klaasseen CD, et al. 2002. Final report of the Cosemtic Ingredient Review Expert Panel. Safety
  assessment of glyceryl dilaurate, glyceryl diarachidate, glyceryl dibehenate, glyceryl dierucate, glyceryl
  dihydroxystearate, glyceryl diisopalmitate, glyceryl diisostearate, glyceryl dilinoleate, glyceryl dimyristate, glyceryl
  dioleate, glyceryl dipalmitate, glyceryl dipalmitoleate, glyceryl distearate, glyceryl palmitate lactate, glyceryl stearate
  citrate, glyceryl stearate lactate, and glyceryl stearate succinate. Available from CIR (<a href="https://www.cir-safety.org/ingredients">https://www.cir-safety.org/ingredients</a>).
- Andersen FA (ed). Amended final report on the safety assessment of glyceryl dilaurate, glyceryl diarachidate, glyceryl dibehenate, glyceryl dierucate, glyceryl dihydroxystearate, glyceryl diisopalmitate, glyceryl diisostearate, glyceryl dilinoleate, glyceryl dipalmitate, glyceryl dipalmitate, glyceryl dipalmitate, glyceryl dipalmitate, glyceryl dipalmitate, glyceryl stearate glyceryl stearate lactate, and glyceryl stearate succinate. Int J Toxicol. 2007;26 (Suppl 3):1-30.
- 3. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Center for Food Safety & Applied Nutrition (CFSAN). 2022. Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program Frequency of Use of Cosmetic Ingredients (VCRP). Obtained under the Freedom of Information Act from CFSAN; requested as "Frequency of Use Data" January 4, 2022; received January 11, 2022.
- 4. Personal Care Products Council. 2022. Concentration of Use by FDA Product Category: Glyceryl Diesters. Unpublished data submitted by the Personal Care Products Council on July 7, 2022.
- 5. Frank JA, Yushchenko DA, Hodson DJ, et al. Photoswitchable diacylglycerols enable optical control of protein kinase C. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2016;12(9):755-762.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> It is possible these products are sprays, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are sprays.

b Not specified whether a spray or a powder, but it is possible the use can be as a spray or a powder, therefore the information is captured in both categories

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> It is possible these products are powders, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are powders.

- 6. Yamaguchi Y, Shirai Y, Matsubara T, et al. Phosphorylation and up-regulation of diacylglycerol kinase gamma via its interaction with protein kinase C gamma. *J Biol Chem.* 2006;281(42):31627-31637.
- 7. Lo S-K, Tan C-P, Long K, Yusoff MSA, Lai O-M. Diacylglycerol oil—properties, processes and products: a review. *Food Bioproc Tech.* 2008;1(3):223-233.
- 8. Takase H. Metabolism of diacylglycerol in humans. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2007;16 Suppl 1:398-403.
- 9. Wertz PW. Epidermal lipids. Semin Dermatol. 1992;11(2):106-113.
- 10. Picardo M, Ottaviani M, Camera E, Mastrofrancesco A. Sebaceous gland lipids. Dermatoendocrinol. 2009;1(2):68-71.
- 11. Sanzo KM. Re: GRAS Notice No. GRN 000914. <a href="https://www.fda.gov/media/148683/download">https://www.fda.gov/media/148683/download</a>. Last Updated 03/15/2021. Accessed 06/30/2022.
- 12. Lee WJ, Zhang Z, Lai OM, Tan CP, Wang Y. Diacylglycerol in food industry: Synthesis methods, functionalities, health benefits, potential risks and drawbacks. *Trends Food Sci Technol*. 2020;97:114-125.
- 13. Zeng N, Gao X, Hu Q, et al. Lipid-based liquid crystalline nanoparticles as oral drug delivery vehicles for poorly water-soluble drugs: cellular interaction and in vivo absorption. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2012;7:3703-3718.
- 14. Morita O, Tamaki Y, Kirkpatrick JB, Chengelis CP. Safety assessment of heated diacylglycerol oil: subchronic toxicity study in rats. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2008;46(8):2748-2757.
- 15. Honda H, Kawamoto T, Doi Y, et al. Alpha-linolenic acid-enriched diacylglycerol oil does not promote tumor development in tongue and gastrointestinal tract tissues in a medium-term multi-organ carcinogenesis bioassay using male F344 rat. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2017;106(Pt A):185-192.
- 16. Kasamatsu T, Ogura R, Ikeda N, et al. Genotoxicity studies on dietary diacylglycerol (DAG) oil. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2005;43(2):253-260.
- Australian Industrial Chemicals Introduction Scheme (AICIS). Full Public Report: Docosanoic Acid, Ester with 1,2,3-Propanetriol (File No: LTD/2050).
   <a href="https://www.industrialchemicals.gov.au/sites/default/files/LTD2050%20Public%20Report%20PDF.pdf">https://www.industrialchemicals.gov.au/sites/default/files/LTD2050%20Public%20Report%20PDF.pdf</a>. Sydney, Australia. Last Updated September 2018. Accessed 07/29/2022.
- 18. Bushita H, Ito Y, Saito T, et al. A 90-day repeated-dose toxicity study of dietary alpha linolenic acid-enriched diacylglycerol oil in rats. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2018;97:33-47.
- 19. Morita O, Knapp JF, Tamaki Y, Nemec MD, Varsho BJ, Stump DG. Safety assessment of dietary diacylglycerol oil: a two-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2008;46(9):3059-3068.
- 20. Bushita H, Liu S, Ohta T, et al. Effects of dietary alpha-linolenic acid-enriched diacylglycerol oil on embryo/fetal development in rats. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2018;98:108-114.
- 21. Chengelis CP, Kirkpatrick JB, Marit GB, Morita O, Tamaki Y, Suzuki H. A chronic dietary toxicity study of DAG (diacylglycerol) in Beagle dogs. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2006;44(1):81-97.
- 22. Chengelis CP, Kirkpatrick JB, Bruner RH, et al. A 24-month dietary carcinogenicity study of DAG in mice. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2006;44(1):122-137.
- 23. Chengelis CP, Kirkpatrick JB, Bruner RH, et al. A 24-month dietary carcinogenicity study of DAG (diacylglycerol) in rats. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2006;44(1):98-121.
- Ichihara T, Yoshino H, Doi Y, et al. No enhancing effects of diacylglycerol oil on tumor development in a medium-term multi-organ carcinogenesis bioassay using male F344 rats. Food Chem Toxicol. 2008;46(1):157-167.
- 25. Honda H, Fujita Y, Hayashi A, Ikeda N, Ito Y, Morita O. Genotoxicity evaluation of alpha-linolenic acid-diacylglycerol oil. *Toxicol Rep.* 2016;3:716-722.

- 26. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Inactive Ingredients in Approved Drug Products Search Database. <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/iig/index.cfm">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/iig/index.cfm</a>. Last Updated 2022. Accessed 08/03/2022.
- 27. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Opinion on the re-evaluation of mono- and diglycerides of fatty acids (E 471) as food additive in foods for infants below 16 weeks of age and follow-up of their re-evaluation as food additives for uses in foods for all population groups. *EFSA J.* 2021;19(11):6885.

### HEXAMIDINE AND HEXAMIDINE DIISETHIONATE

The Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety (Panel) first published the Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Hexamidine and Hexamidine Diisethionate in 2007.<sup>1</sup> The Panel concluded that Hexamidine and Hexamidine Diisethionate are safe for use in cosmetics in the practices and concentrations of use as described in the safety assessment if used at concentrations less than or equal to 0.10%.

Because it has been at least 15 years since the final report was published, in accordance with Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR) Procedures, the Panel determined whether the safety assessment should be reopened. At the September 2022 meeting, the Panel considered updated (2022) information regarding product types and ingredient use frequencies as reported in the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program (VCRP) database, and maximum use concentrations provided in response to the survey conducted by the Personal Care Products Council. The frequency of use of Hexamidine Diisethionate has increased from 38 uses in  $2002^1$  to 52 uses in 2022. The concentration of use of this ingredient has remained the same ( $\leq 0.1\%$ ). There were previously, and are currently, no reported uses for Hexamidine. The cumulative frequency and concentration of use data are presented in Table 1.

An extensive search of the world's literature was performed for studies dated 2000 forward, and new data were found.<sup>4-9</sup> The Panel agreed, however, that the published literature did not reveal toxicity or other data that warrant re-evaluation of the safety of these ingredients in cosmetic products. The Panel noted the clinical studies in which hypersensitivity was observed; however, these studies were performed in previously determined atopic individuals; therefore, concern for this endpoint was mitigated.

The Panel reviewed 2022 frequency and concentration of use data, in addition to any new, available, relevant safety data, and reaffirmed the 2007 conclusion regarding the safety of Hexamidine and Hexamidine Diisethionate in cosmetics.

Table 1. 2022 and historical frequency and concentration of use according to duration and exposure

Table 1. 2022 and historical free		Uses		of Use (%)				
	, and the second	Hexamidine Diisethionate						
	20222	20021	20223	2004 <sup>1</sup>				
Totals*	52	38	0.036 - 0.1	0.03 - 0.1				
Duration of Use								
Leave-On	34	23	0.036 - 0.1	0.03 - 0.1				
Rinse-Off	18	15	NR	0.05 - 0.06				
Diluted for (Bath) Use	NR	NR	NR	NR				
Exposure Type								
Eye Area	2	1	NR	NR				
Incidental Ingestion	NR	NR	NR	NR				
Incidental Inhalation-Spray	9a; 8b	9ª; 5 <sup>b</sup>	NR	0.1 <sup>a</sup> ; 0.05 <sup>b</sup>				
Incidental Inhalation-Powder	1; 8 <sup>b</sup> ; 3 <sup>c</sup>	5 <sup>b</sup> ; 3 <sup>c</sup>	0.036°	$0.05^{\rm b}$				
Dermal Contact	37	32	0.036 - 0.1	0.04 - 0.1				
Deodorant (underarm)	3ª	NR	0.05	0.1a				
Hair - Non-Coloring	14	5	NR	NR				
Hair-Coloring	1	NR	NR	NR				
Nail	NR	NR	NR	0.03				
Mucous Membrane	1	NR	NR	NR				
Baby Products	5	5	0.036	NR				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> It is possible these products are sprays, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are sprays.

b Not specified whether a spray or a powder, but it is possible the use can be as a spray or a powder, therefore the information is captured in both categories

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> It is possible these products are powders, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are powders. NR – no reported use

- 1. Andersen F.A. (ed). Final report on the safety assessment of Hexamidine and Hexamidine Diisethionate. *Int J Toxicol*. 2007;26 Suppl 3:79-88.
- US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Center for Food Safety & Applied Nutrition (CFSAN). 2022. Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program - Frequency of Use of Cosmetic Ingredients. (Obtained under the Freedom of Information Act from CFSAN; requested as "Frequency of Use Data" January 4, 2022; received January 11, 2022). College Park, MD.
- 3. Personal Care Products Council. 2022. Concentration of Use by FDA Product Category: Hexamidine and Hexamidine Diisethionate. (Unpublished data submitted to Personal Care Products Council on July 7, 2022.)
- European Chemicals Agency (ECHA). 2-hydroxyethanesulphonic acid, compound with 4,4'-[hexane-1,6-diylbis(oxy)]bis[benzenecarboxamidine] (2:1). <a href="https://echa.europa.eu/da/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/26729/">https://echa.europa.eu/da/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/26729/</a>. Last Updated: 2022. Accessed: October 18, 2022.
- Scientific Committee on Cosmetic Products and Non-Food Products Intended for Consumers. Opinion of the Scientific
  Committee on Cosmetic Products and Non-Food Products Intended for Consumers concerning hexamidine and its salts,
  including di-isethionate and di(p-hydroxybenzoate). 2002.
- 6. Le Seac'h A, Castagna J, Chantran Y, et al. Occurrence of immediate and delayed hypersensitivity to hexamidine. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2021;85(5):580-582.
- 7. Mullins RJ. Systemic allergy to topical hexamidine. *Med J Aust.* 2006;185(3):177.
- 8. Mailhol C, Lauwers-Cances V, Rancé F, Paul C, Giordano-Labadie F. Prevalence and risk factors for allergic contact dermatitis to topical treatment in atopic dermatitis: a study in 641 children. *Allergy*. 2009;64(5):801-806.
- 9. Barbaud A, Vigan M, Delrous JL, et al. Contact allergy to antiseptics: 75 cases analyzed by the dermato-allergovigilance network (Revidal). *Ann Dermatol Venereol*. 2005;132(12 Pt 1):962-965.

# MINK OIL

The Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety (Panel) first published a review on the safety of Mink Oil in 1998, with an insufficient data conclusion.<sup>1</sup> Subsequently, the Panel's data needs were met, and in 2005 a final amended report was published with the conclusion that Mink Oil is safe as a cosmetic ingredient in the practices of use and concentration described in that safety assessment.<sup>2</sup>

Because it has been at least 15 years since the final amended report was published, in accordance with CIR Procedures, the Panel considered whether the safety assessment should be reopened. At the September 2022 meeting, the Panel considered updated (2022) information regarding product types and ingredient use frequencies as reported in the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program (VCRP) database<sup>3</sup> and maximum use concentrations provided in response to the survey conducted by the Personal Care Products Council.<sup>4</sup> Since the final amended report was issued, there is one new use category reported in 2022 (hair-coloring). The frequency of use has decreased, from 100 reported uses in 2001 to 32 uses in 2022, and the maximum concentration of use for Mink Oil has decreased from 3% in 2001 to 0.1% in 2022. The cumulative frequency and concentration of use data are presented in Table 1.

An extensive search of the world's literature was performed for studies dated 2000 forward, and no new data were found.

The Panel reviewed 2022 frequency and concentration of use data, in addition to any new, available, relevant safety data, and reaffirmed the 2005 conclusion regarding the safety of Mink Oil in cosmetics.

Table 1. Frequency and concentration of use (2022; 2001) according to duration and exposure

	# 0)	Uses	Max Conc	of Use (%)
	20223	2001 <sup>2</sup>	20224	20012
Totals*	32	100	0.1	0.001-3
Duration of Use				
Leave-On	22	63	0.1	0.001-2
Rinse-Off	5	36	NR	3
Diluted for (Bath) Use	5	1	NR	0.1
Exposure Type				
Eye Area	NR	2	NR	0.1-1
Incidental Ingestion	11	12	NR	0.001
Incidental Inhalation-Spray	5a;3c	3;23a;12c	NR	1;0.2-2a;0.1-0.5c
Incidental Inhalation-Powder	1;3°	1;12°	NR	0.1;1 <sup>b</sup> ;0.1-0.5 <sup>c</sup>
Dermal Contact	19	48	0.1	0.1-2
Deodorant (underarm)	NR	NR	NR	NR
Hair - Non-Coloring	1	39	NR	3
Hair-Coloring	1	NR	NR	NR
Nail	NR	NR	NR	0.001-0.1
Mucous Membrane	16	13	NR	NR
Baby Products	NR	NR	NR	NR

<sup>\*</sup>Because each ingredient may be used in cosmetics with multiple exposure types, the sum of all exposure types may not equal the sum of total uses.

NR - no reported use

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> It is possible these products are sprays, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are sprays.

b It is possible these products are powders, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are powders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Not specified whether a spray or a powder, but it is possible the use can be as a spray or a powder, therefore the information is captured in both categories

- 1. Anderson FA. Final report on the safety assessment of Mink Oil. Int J Toxicol. 1998;17(Suppl 4):71-81.
- 2. Andersen FA. Final amended report on the safety assessment of Mink Oil. Int J Toxicol. 2005;24(Suppl 3):57-64.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration Center for Food Safety & Applied Nutrition (CFSAN). 2022. Voluntary
  Cosmetic Registration Program Frequency of use of Cosmetic Ingredients. College Park, MD. (Obtained under
  the Freedom of Information Act from CFSAN; requested as "Frequency of Use Data" January 4, 2022; received
  January 11, 2022).
- 4. Personal Care Products Council. 2020. Concentration of Use by FDA Product Category: Mink Oil. Unpublished data submitted by the Personal Care Products Council on October 13, 2020.

# **SODIUM LAURYL SULFOACETATE**

The Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety (Panel) first published the Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Sodium Lauryl Sulfoacetate in 1987.<sup>1</sup> The Panel concluded that this ingredient is safe as a cosmetic ingredient in the present practices of use and concentration. Upon re-review, the Panel reaffirmed the original conclusion, as published in 2006.<sup>2</sup>

Because it has been at least 15 years since the prior re-review was published, in accordance with Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR) Procedures, the Panel again determined whether the safety assessment should be reopened. At the September 2022 meeting, the Panel considered updated 2022 information regarding product types and ingredient use frequencies as reported in the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program (VCRP) database<sup>3</sup> and maximum use concentrations provided by the Personal Care Products Council (Council).<sup>4</sup> Since the initial re-review was considered, the frequency of use has increased slightly, from 68 to 97 uses.<sup>2,3</sup> In 2004, the maximum concentration of use for this ingredient was reported to be 4% in leave-on products, 5% in rinse-off products, and 21% in products diluted for use.<sup>2</sup> Concentration of use provided by the Council in 2022 indicate that Sodium Lauryl Sulfoacetate is used at up to 2.5% in leave-on products (foot powders), up to 10.2% in rinse-off products (preshave lotions), and up to 8.4% in products diluted for use (bubble baths).<sup>4</sup> The cumulative frequency and concentration of use data are presented in Table 1.

An extensive search of the world's literature was performed for studies dated 2004 forward, and no new data were found.

The Panel reviewed 2022 frequency and concentration of use data, noted the lack of any new, available, relevant safety data, and once again reaffirmed the 1987 conclusion regarding the safety of Sodium Lauryl Sulfoacetate in cosmetics.

Table 1. Frequency (2022; 2002) and concentration (2022; 2004) of use according to duration and exposure

	# of	Uses	Max Conc o	of Use (%)
	20223	2002 <sup>2</sup>	20224	2004 <sup>2</sup>
Totals*	87	68	0.00028-10.2	0.6-21
Duration of Use				
Leave-On	5	1	0.8-2.5	4
Rinse-Off	52	6	0.00028-10.2	5
Diluted for (Bath) Use	30	61	3-8.4	21
Exposure Type				
Eye Area	NR	NR	NR	NR
Incidental Ingestion	NR	1	0.74	0.7
Incidental Inhalation-Spray	1 a	1	1.5	2; 2ª
Incidental Inhalation-Powder	1 a	NR	2.5	1 <sup>b</sup> ; 2 <sup>a</sup>
Dermal Contact	53	64	0.00028-10.2	0.6-21
Deodorant (underarm)	NR	NR	NR	NR
Hair - Non-Coloring	33	1	0.53-4.4	1-5
Hair-Coloring	1	2	3	NR
Nail	NR	NR	NR	4
Mucous Membrane	42	62	0.00028-10	0.6-21
Baby Products	1	NR	0.53	1

<sup>\*</sup>Because each ingredient may be used in cosmetics with multiple exposure types, the sum of all exposure types may not equal the sum of total uses.

- 1. Elder RL. Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Sodium Lauryl Sulfoacetate. J Am Coll Toxicol. 1987;6(3):261-277.
- 2. Andersen FA. Annual Review of Cometic Ingreident Safety Assessments 2004/2005. Int J Toxicol. 2006;25(Suppl 2):65-69.
- 3. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Center for Food Safety & Applied Nutrition (CFSAN). Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program Frequency of Use of Cosmetic Ingredients. College Park, MD. 2022. (Obtained under the Freedom of Information Act from CFSAN; requested as "Frequency of Use Data" January 4, 2022; received January 11, 2022.)
- 4. Personal Care Products Council. 2022. Concentration of Use by FDA Product Category Sodium Lauryl Sulfoacetate. Unpublished data submitted by the Personal Care Products Council on July 7, 2022.

a Not specified whether a spray or a powder, but it is possible the use can be as a spray or a powder, therefore the information is captured in both categories

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> It is possible these products are powders, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are powders.

NR – no reported use