# Wave 2 Data Supplement

Mallow

Phytosteryl Glutamates

# EXPERT PANEL MEETING DECEMBER 5-6, 2022



# Commitment & Credibility since 1976

#### Memorandum

To: Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety Members and Liaisons

From: Preethi Raj, Senior Scientific Writer/Analyst, CIR

Date: November 29, 2022

Subject: Safety Assessment of Malva sylvestris (Mallow)-Derived Ingredients as Used in Cosmetics – Wave 2

The Council has provided CIR with unpublished data on Malva Sylvestris (Mallow) Flower Extract, which are attached herein for the Panel's review (data\_Mallow\_Wave2\_122022). This submission includes composition, method of manufacture, and impurities, as well as genotoxicity data, the last of which was previously not in the report. Additionally, summary details for phototoxicity and dermal irritation and sensitization data with negative results for the Malva Sylvestris (Mallow) Flower Extract were also submitted.



# Memorandum

**TO:** Bart Heldreth, Ph.D.

Executive Director - Cosmetic Ingredient Review

**FROM:** Carol Eisenmann, Ph.D.

Personal Care Products Council

**DATE:** October 12, 2022

SUBJECT: Malva Sylvestris (Mallow) Flower Extract

Anonymous. 2022. Summary Information - Malva Sylvestris (Mallow) Flower Extract.

November 2022

# Summary Information - Malva Sylvestris (Mallow) Flower Extract

# 1. Chemistry information

	Mallow Flower Extract		
Composition	Tannins and Anthocyans		
The method of manufacture	Dried raw material⇒extract with 50 vol% ethanolic solution		
	$\Rightarrow$ sedimentation $\Rightarrow$ filtrate $\Rightarrow$ adjustment $\Rightarrow$ packaging		
Torrespirit	Heavy metals: not more than 20 ppm		
Impurities	Arsenic: not more than 2 ppm		

	Mallow Flower Extract BG		
Composition	Tannins and Anthocyans		
The method of manufacture	Dried raw material⇒extract with 50 vol% 1,3-butylene glycolic		
	solution⇒sedimentation⇒filtrate⇒adjustment⇒packaging		
Torracióna	Heavy metals: not more than 20 ppm		
Impurities	Arsenic: not more than 2 ppm		

	Mallow Flower Extract LA	
Composition	Tannins, Saccharides and Anthocyans	
The method of manufacture	Dried raw material⇒extract with 30 vol% ethanolic solution	
	$\Rightarrow$ sedimentation $\Rightarrow$ filtrate $\Rightarrow$ adjustment $\Rightarrow$ packaging	
To a street	Heavy metals: not more than 20 ppm	
Impurities	Arsenic: not more than 2 ppm	

	Mallow Flower Extract SQ		
Composition	Essential oil		
	Dried raw material⇒extract with 30 vol% ethanolic solution		
The method of manufacture	$\Rightarrow$ concentration $\Rightarrow$ dissolve in squalane $\Rightarrow$ sedimentation $\Rightarrow$ filtrate		
	$\Rightarrow$ adjustment $\Rightarrow$ packaging		
Torrowition	Heavy metals: not more than 10 ppm		
Impurities	Arsenic: not more than 2 ppm		

	MALLOW FLOWER MOISTURE		
Composition	Tannins and Anthocyans		
	Dried raw material⇒extract with water⇒concentration		
The method of manufacture	⇒dissolve in 30 vol% 1,3-butylene glycolic solution		

	$\Rightarrow$ sedimentation $\Rightarrow$ filtrate $\Rightarrow$ adjustment $\Rightarrow$ packaging
Impurities	Heavy metals: not more than 20 ppm
	Arsenic: not more than 2 ppm

# 2. Genotoxicity data

Mallow Flower Extract BG	Concentration of test solution	Result	Method
Mutagenicity* (reverse mutation testing using microorganisms)	10000 μg/0.1 mL/plate	Negative	TA98、TA100、TA1535、 TA1537、WP2uvrA

<sup>\*</sup> The tests were conducted in our laboratory.

MALLOW FLOWER MOISTURE	Concentration of test solution	Result	Method
Mutagenicity* (reverse mutation testing using microorganisms)	5000 μg/0.1 mL/plate	Negative	TA98、TA100、TA1535、 TA1537、WP2uvrA

<sup>\*</sup> The tests were conducted in our laboratory.

# 3. Dermal irritation and sensitization data

Mallow Flower Extract BG	Concentration of test solution	Result	Method
Primary skin irritation*	100 %、10 %	Non irritant	3 Rabbits

<sup>\*</sup> The tests were conducted in our laboratory.

MALLOW FLOWER MOISTURE	Concentration of test solution	Result	Method
Phototoxicity	10 %, 1 %	Negative	5 Guinea pigs
Photosensitization	Photoinduction: 10 % Photochallenge: 10 %, 1 %	Negative	5 Guinea pigs
Human patch test	10 %	Non irritant	Closed patch 34 subjects
Human skin sensitization test (Repeated Insult Patch Test)	10 %	Mild material, Not induce delayed contact sensitization	Marzulli & Maibach (modified methods) 54 subjects



# Commitment & Credibility since 1976

#### Memorandum

To: Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety Members and Liaisons

From: Regina Tucker, Scientific Writer/Analyst, CIR

Date: November 29, 2022

Subject: Safety Assessment of Phytosteryl Glutamates as Used in Cosmetics – Wave 2

The Council has provided CIR with unpublished data on Phytosteryl Glutamates, which are attached herein for the Panel's review (data\_PhytosterylGlutamates\_Wave2\_122022). This submission includes human in use test data for a product containing 0.49995% Phytosteryl/Octyldodecyl/Lauroyl Glutamate under ophthalmological and dermatological controls. The test data showed the product has very good eye acceptability and very good skin acceptability on the eye area.



## Memorandum

**TO:** Bart Heldreth, Ph.D.

Executive Director - Cosmetic Ingredient Review

**FROM:** Carol Eisenmann, Ph.D.

Personal Care Products Council

**DATE:** November 28, 2022

**SUBJECT:** Phytosteryl/Octyldodecyl Lauroyl Glutamate

Anonymous. 2020. Human in use test under ophthalmological and dermatological controls

(product contains 0.49995% Phytosteryl/Octyldodecyl/Lauroyl Glutamate).

# HUMAN IN USE TEST UNDER OPHTHALMOLOGICAL AND DERMATOLOGICAL CONTROLS

product contains 0.49995% Phytosteryl/Octyldodecyl Lauroyl Glutamate

Study report – version n° 1 of 11/12/2020

STUDY REFERENCE	
INVESTIGATIONAL PRODUCT	
Denomination	
Sponsor reference / Formula number	
Batch number	
SPONSOR	
STUDY MONITOR	
INVESTIGATING CENTRE	
INVESTIGATORS	(Dermatologist) (Ophthalmologist)
Initiation date of study performance	re 16/10/2020
Completion date of study performa	nce 13/11/2020

# HUMAN IN USE TEST UNDER OPHTHALMOLOGICAL AND DERMATOLOGICAL CONTROLS

# **Table of contents**

SYNOPSIS	
SIGNATURES AND DATES	8
I – INITIAL PROTOCOL DESIGN	9
I.1. STUDY OBJECTIVES	9
I.2. ETHICS	9
I.3. INVESTIGATING CENTRE AND STAFF	11
I.4. DATES OF STUDY PERFORMANCE	11
I.5. OVERALL STUDY DESIGN	11
I.6. STUDY POPULATION	13
I.7. INVESTIGATIONAL PRODUCT	18
I.8. CHECKING OF THE INVESTIGATIONAL PRODUCT ABILITY TO KEEP IN GOOD CONDITION THE HUMAN BODY AND EYE ACCEPTABILITY)	
I.9. CONSUMER PERCEPTION (QUESTIONNAIRE)	22
I.10. SUSPENSION OF THE STUDY	22
I.11. ADVERSE EVENTS	22
I.12. EXCLUSION PERIOD AT THE END OF THE STUDY	23
I.13. RAW DATA RECORDING AND STUDY REPORT FILING	23
I.14. REFERENCES	24
II – PRACTICAL CONDITIONS OF STUDY PERFORMANCE: PROTOCOL ADHERENCE	25
II.1. STUDY POPULATION	25
II.2. EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS OF USE OF THE INVESTIGATIONAL PRODUCT	26
II.3. CHECKING OF THE INVESTIGATIONAL PRODUCT ABILITY TO KEEP IN GOOD CONDITION THE HUMAN BODY AND EYE ACCEPTABILIT): RECORDING OF THE REACTIONS	/ (SKIN 27
II.4. CONSUMER PERCEPTION (QUESTIONNAIRE)	27
III – RESULTS	
III.1. RESULTS / DISCUSSION	28
III.2. OVERALL CONCLUSION	
III.3. QUALITY CONTROL AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	31
APPENDICES	32
QUESTIONNAIRE	33
TYPOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE TEST SUBJECTS	34
SPECIFIC INFORMATION CONCERNING THE TEST SUBJECTS AND MEDICATION	35
CONTROL OF THE OBSERVANCE - INVESTIGATIONAL PRODUCT USE	37
CONTROL OF THE OBSERVANCE – PRODUCT CONSUMPTION	38
SKIN ACCEPTABILITY - SKIN EXAMINATION: CLINICAL SIGNS	39
SKIN ACCEPTABILITY - SENSATIONS OF DISCOMFORT	40
EYE ACCEPTABILITY - SKIN EXAMINATION: CLINICAL SIGNS	41
EYE ACCEPTABILITY - SENSATIONS OF DISCOMFORT	42

# HUMAN IN USE TEST UNDER OPHTHALMOLOGICAL AND DERMATOLOGICAL CONTROLS

# Synopsis

STUDY OBJECTIVES	To evaluate the potential for dermatological irritation and/or hypersensitivity of the test material on a variety of skin types.  To evaluate test's material potential for ophthalmological safety and/or ocular irritation when it is subjected to in-use conditions.
SPONSOR	
STUDY MONITOR	
INVESTIGATING CENTRE	
INVESTIGATORS	(Dermatologist) (Ophthalmologist)
TYPE OF THE STUDY	Monocentric study performed in open, not considered as a "Research in Human Beings" as it does not intend "to develop medical or biological knowledges" as described in the French Decree no 2017-884 of May 9th 2017.
DATES OF STUDY PERFORMANCE	From 16/10/2020 to 13/11/2020
INVESTIGATIONAL PRODUCT	

# Number of test subjects: 30 valid cases Specific inclusion criteria: test subjects aged from 18 to 70 female Asian population STUDY with a phototype (Fitzpatrick): II, III or IV **POPULATION** all type of face skin (Normal, Oily, Dry, Combo) 50% with sensitive skin on face (assessed by the Dermatologist) 50% with sensitive eyes 50% with regularly wearing contact lenses 50% with normal eye 15% with eyelash extension wearers (5-6 subjects) regular users of cosmetic products similar to the investigational product Application of the investigational product at home by the test subjects under the normal conditions of use, for 28 consecutive days Application sites: Eye and lash, avoid direct contact with eyes. Investigational product directions for use: Morning: Eye area only; Nighttime: Eye and lash. Apply a pea-sized dollop all around the eye to treat the under eyes, lids, and crow's feet. To treat lashes, apply only at nighttime, using fingertip to gently swipe along lash lines. Avoid direct contact with eyes. Checking of the investigational product ability to keep in good condition the human body (skin acceptability) based on: a clinical examination of the skin, on the experimental area, performed by the same dermatologist at the investigating centre on: D1, before the first application D29, after 28 days of use (± 2 days) the analysis of the sensations of discomfort reported directly by the test subjects to the investigator during the study or in the daily questionnaire METHODOLOGY Descriptive analysis - Percentage of reactive test subjects Checking of the investigational product ability to keep in good condition the human body (eye acceptability) based on: a clinical examination of the eyes performed by the same ophthalmologist, at the investigating centre on: D1, before the first application D29, after 28 days of use (± 2 days) the analysis of the sensations of discomfort reported directly by the test subjects to the investigator during the study or in the daily questionnaire Descriptive analysis - Percentage of reactive test subjects

Consumer perception (questionnaire): The test subjects had to answer a questionnaire, after 28

days of use of the investigational product.

Descriptive and statistical analysis

#### RESULTS

#### Characteristics of the included panel

Number of included subjects: 35

Number of exclusions: 0

Number of withdrawals: 5 subjects (Ref. 20, 25, 29, 33 and 34) discontinued the study for personal reasons independent of the study Number of valid cases: 30

- Age: from 20 to 60 (Mean age=43 years-old)
- Sex: female
- Skin type on face: normal skin (37%, n=11), dry skin (3%, n=1), oily skin (3%, n=1), combination skin (57%, n=17)
- 53% with sensitive skin on face (n=16) minor deviation
- 50% with sensitive eyes (n=15)
- 50% with normal eyes (n=15)
- 53% with regularly wearing contact lenses (n=16) minor deviation
- 17% with eyelash extension wearers (n=5)
- Regular users of cosmetic products similar to the investigational product

# Checking of the investigational product ability to keep in good condition the human body (skin acceptability)

CLINICAL SIGNS (imputable to the investigational product)								
Reference of the	Description	Test su	ıbjects					
subjects	Concerned (Date - Type)		%					
/	1	0	0%					

Legend: / = none

SENSATIONS OF DISCOMFORT (imputable to the investigational product)								
Reference of the	Description	Test su	ıbjects					
subjects	concerned (Date - Type)		%					
1	1	0	0%					

Legend: / = none

### Discussion:

No clinical sign imputable to the investigational product was observed by the dermatologist during the study and no sensation of discomfort was reported by the subjects during the study. The skin acceptability was considered as very good.

## Checking of the investigational product ability to keep in good condition the human body (eye acceptability)

CLINICAL SIGNS (imputable to the investigational product)							
Reference of the concerned	Description	Test subjects					
subjects	(Date - Type)	Nb	%				
1	/	0	0%				

Legend: / = none

	SENSATIONS OF DISCOMFORT (imputable to the investigational product)								
Reference of the concerned	Description	Test su	ıbjects						
subjects	(Date - Type)	Nb	%						
/	/	0	0%						

Legend: / = none

# Discussion:

No clinical sign imputable to the investigational product was observed by the ophthalmologist during the study and no sensation of discomfort was reported by the subjects during the study. The eye acceptability was considered as very good.

# Consumer perception (questionnaire) on the skin where the product was applied

QUESTIONS (30 valid cases)	Kinetics	Very comfortable	Neutral	Very uncomfortable	Percentage of very comfortable	Z- Score	Significant
How comfortable was this product upon application?	D29	22	8	0	73%	4.65	S

	QUESTIONS (30 valid cases)	Kinetics	Yes	No	Percentage of No	Z- Score	Significant
2	Did you have any skin irritation at the site of product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
3	Did you have any itchiness at the site of product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
4	Did you have any swelling/edema at the site of product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
5	Did you have any redness/erythema at the site of product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
6	Did you have any sensitivity at the site of product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
7	Did you have any stinging at the site of product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
8	Did you have any pimples/spot with pus/pustules at the site of product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
9	Did you have any hyperpigmentation at the site of product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
10	Did you have a rash at the site of product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
11	Did you have any burning at the site of product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S

QUESTIONS (30 valid cases)		ANSWERS					
		YI	ES	N	0	EVDI ATNI	
	(30 Valid Cases)		%	N	%	EXPLAIN	
12	Did you have any other undesired reactions/effects at the site of product application? Please explain.	0	0%	30	100%	NA	

Legend: S = significant NS = Non significant NA= Not applicable

## Consumer perception (questionnaire) in/near the eye where the product was applied

	QUESTIONS (30 valid cases)	Kinetics	Very comfortable	Neutral	Very uncomfortable	Percentage of very comfortable	Z- Score	Significant
1	How comfortable was this product upon application?	D29	22	8	0	73%	4.65	S

	QUESTIONS (30 valid cases)	Kinetics	Yes	No	Percentage of No	Z- Score	Significant
2	Did you have any eye irritation at the site of product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
3	Did you have any itchiness around the eye during product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
4	Did you have any swelling/edema around the eye during product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
5	Did you have any redness/erythema in/around the eye during product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
6	Did you have any sensitivity in/around the eye during product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
7	Did you have any stinging in/around the eye during product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
8	Did you have a rash around the eye during product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
9	Did you have any burning in/around the eye during product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
10	Did you have any tearing from the eye(s) during product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S
11	Did you have any discharge from the eye(s) during product application?	D29	0	30	100%	5.48	S

QUESTIONS (30 valid cases)					ANSV	VERS	
		QUESTIONS (30 valid cases)	YI	ES	N	0	EXPLAIN
	(30 valid cases)		N	%	N	%	EXPLAIN
	12	Did you have any other undesired reactions/effects at the site of product application? Please explain.	0	0%	30	100%	NA

Legend: S = significant

NS = Non significant

NA= Not applicable

## **OVERALL CONCLUSION**

Under the experimental conditions adopted:

repeated application under normal conditions of use, for 28 consecutive days, by a panel of 30 women, regular users of similar products, aged between 20 and 60, with a phototype II to IV, with all types of skin on face, 53% with sensitive skin on face, 50% with sensitive eyes, 50% with normal eyes, 53% with regularly wearing contact lenses, 17% with eyelash extension wearers,

The product acceptability on eye area.	has	<u>a</u>	<u>very</u>	good	<u>skin</u>
The product acceptability.	has	a	verv	good	eve